

Phase 1 What We Heard Report

November 2020







Table of Contents

| 1. | | Sou | uth of Wye ARP: An Introduction | . 3 |
|----|----|------|---|------------|
| | 1. | 1. | Project Timelines | . 4 |
| | 1. | 2. | Why We Need Your Help | . 5 |
| | 1. | 3. | Highlights of What We Heard | . 5 |
| 2. | | Нον | w We Communicated | . 7 |
| 3. | | Но | w You Engaged | . 8 |
| 4. | | Wh | o Engaged | . 9 |
| | 4. | 1. (| Online Survey Participants | . 9 |
| | 4. | 2. (| Online Visioning Residential Workshop Participants | 12 |
| 5. | | Wh | at We Asked | 14 |
| | 5. | 1. | Here's what we asked you | 14 |
| | 5. | 2. | Here's what you told us | 15 |
| 6. | | Fut | ure Public Engagement & Communication Opportunities | 24 |
| | 6. | 1 H | lere's what we asked you2 | 24 |
| 7. | | Wh | at Comes Next | 26 |
| 8. | | API | PENDIX A – What Was Said | 27 |
| | 8. | 1 | Question 5.1.1: | 27 |
| | 8. | 2 | Question 5.1.2: | 40 |
| | 8. | 3 | Question 5.1.3 (Zone A): | 50 |
| | 8. | 4 | Question 5.1.3 (Zone B and C – Residential Workshop): | 50 |
| | 8. | 5 | Question 5.1.3 (Zone B – Online Survey): | 53 |
| | 8. | 6 | Question 5.1.3 (Zone C – Online Survey): | 53 |
| | 8. | 7 | Question 5.1.4: | 54 |
| | 8. | 8 | Question 5.1.5: | 60 |
| | 8. | 9 | Email correspondence | 65 |



1. South of Wye ARP: An Introduction

Strathcona County has kicked off a new statutory planning project. The purpose of the South of Wye ARP project is to create a new Area Redevelopment Plan (ARP) for Campbelltown Heights, Wye Road Gardens and Ordze Park subdivisions. The project will also update the existing South of Wye Road ARP in order to ensure coordination of land use and servicing in the area.

An Area Redevelopment Plan (ARP) is a statutory plan that provides guidance to landowners who may wish to redevelop their properties in the future. The final ARPs will include:

- ARP Vision and Guiding Principles that are clear and collective;
- Policy directions to guide redevelopments within the Plan Area;
- Policies that will address mobility, the public realm, transitioning and the built form:
- Implementation strategies; and
- Collective supportive documents that include a Transportation Study and a Utilities Master Plan, that will be prepared to support both ARP deliverables.

The Project Area, as illustrated in Figure 1, includes the South of Wye Road ARP, as well as the Campbelltown Heights, Wye Road Gardens and Ordze Park subdivisions.











1.1. Project Timelines

It takes time to prepare an area redevelopment plan. Below are the expected timelines for this project:

South of Wye ARP Project Phases South of Wye **ARP Project** PHASE 1 PHASE 2 PHASE 3 PHASE 4 PHASE 5 JULY -OCTOBER -JANUARY -**SEPTEMBER 2021** MARCH -OCTOBER 2020 **DECEMBER 2020 SEPTEMBER 2021 JULY 2022** - MARCH 2022 Project Technical Land Use Prepare Finalize ARPs Initiation Background Concepts and Drafts of and Technical Documents Boundary ARPs and Studies Scenarios for Technical Background **ARPs** Studies for Research Consultation Recommended and Land Use Circulation Concepts and Boundaries for **ARPs** Consultation Consultation Consultation Council Consultation, #2 #3A Public, #4 Public, Presentation Stakeholder Stakeholder Stakeholder and Stakeholder and Public and Landowner and Landowner and Landowner -Hearing - Visioning Landowner Scenarios - Review - Concept of Draft Consultation Design Documents #3B Public, Consultation Stakeholder and **WE ARE** Landowner -HERE Recommendation

Figure 2: South of Wye ARP Project Phases





1.2. Why We Need Your Help

Public engagement on the ARP project will occur throughout the project, beginning in Phase 1 and concluding in Phase 5 with a Public Hearing. Through this process, the project team will obtain feedback from landowners, stakeholders, and the general public to develop concepts, clarify issues, and identify possible solutions. County staff, Council, landowners, stakeholders, and the general public will enter into a dialogue together to explore each other's perspectives, goals, plans, concerns, expectations, and possible solutions.

In Phase 1 we are using your input to determine the overall Vision and Guiding Principles for the ARP Project Area. A variety of public engagement opportunities were available to area landowners, stakeholders and the general public.

1.3. Highlights of What We Heard

Phase 1 engagement was launched Tuesday, October 6, 2020 and was completed on Monday, October 26,2020. There was a total of 349 responses to the online surveys over the course of 20 days, and a total of 37 participants attended the residential and commercial property owner workshops.

Through Phase 1 engagement, we heard a strong desire across the online surveys and workshops for an increase in active transportation infrastructure throughout the Project Area and connecting to surrounding areas. A path along Range Road 233 was the most commonly mentioned desired route. We also heard a strong desire for more open spaces throughout the Project Area.

When it comes to the residential portions of the Project Area, we heard from a large number of survey participants and residential workshop participants that they enjoy the unique mix of country residential properties with good connections to the adjacent commercial, and they would like the area to stay as is.

There was also a large portion of survey participants that expressed the desire for the opportunity to subdivide into smaller residential lots and develop a diversity of housing options. A strong desire for mixed-use development and commercial opportunities was also expressed, particularly in the residential portion of the Project Area located directly south of the commercial area.

Participants of the residential workshop also expressed an interest in a diversity of housing options, and some opportunity for subdivision into smaller residential lots, particularly in the residential portion of the Project Area located directly south of the commercial area.

Within the commercial area, there was a strong interest in the opportunity for mixeduse development. There was also interest in the opportunity for some apartment style housing.





"Everyone is impacted by development so the plan needs to be holistic." – Residential workshop

participant

"If we could enhance this wonderful family community with better bike trails and even some commercial stores near Wye, I think [the] area could continue being a special place."

– Survey participant

"Most of the development in it is single story, we should be building up!" – Survey participant

"Create spaces for community gatherings and landscaping areas" – Residential workshop participant response for Zone B "Leave the country residential housing as is. Sherwood Park does not need any more retail and certainly doesn't need any more medium or high-density housing." – Survey participant

"plant large trees along busy roadways (rr233) to reduce the noise" – Residential workshop participant response for Zone C

This "What We Heard Report" summarizes and highlights the key findings and results from Phase 1 of the South of Wye ARP Project process.

A NOTE TO OUR PARTICIPANTS:

We want to say thank you to all those who have participated in this engagement process. We are grateful to those who signed in, participated online and invited their friends, neighbours and colleagues to join the conversation

Abiding by the Code of Ethics of the International Association of Public Participation (IAP2) the WSP & Dialogue Partners team has tried to reflect the themes and summary of participant input from the conversation in a way that captures the essence of what was shared. Any mistake or errors in this summary are based solely on our interpretation and analysis of that input.


WSP & Dialogue Partners Team





2. How We Communicated

We reached out to invite local area property owners as well as interested community members to participate in several engagement opportunities. A variety of tactics were used to reach a broad segment of the population and a diversity of users of the Project Area:



3. How You Engaged

Phase 1 provided several opportunities for the community to contribute their ideas on the ARP project.

An online survey was open between October 6 and October 26, 2020. The online survey was available on both SurveyGizmo and SCOOP (Strathcona County Online Opinion Panel). SurveyGizmo is a public survey, open to all members of the community, while SCOOP is an opt-in survey community, whose members are regularly invited to provide feedback on County initiatives and projects.

Two online visioning workshops took place. One was held on October 14, 2020 from 6:30p.m. to 8:30 p.m. for the residential area landowners of Ordze Park, Campbelltown Heights and Wye Road Gardens, as well as residents that are immediately adjacent to these subdivisions. The second workshop was held on October 15, 2020 from 6:30p.m. to 8:30 p.m. for the commercial area landowners.

The project team also responded to a number of emails and phone calls regarding questions and comments on the ARP project. Please note that in order to contribute to reducing the spread of COVID-19, all public engagement was offered in an online format.







4. Who Engaged

A wide variety of participants engaged, from long-term residents to visitors of the area. Here's what we learned about who participated.


4.1. Online Survey Participants

Online survey participants include those who responded to the SCOOP survey, as well as those who responded to the SurveyGizmo survey.

4.1.1 Relationship with the Project Area

Most of the participants who competed the online survey were people who visit the area for retail or other services, while a quarter of participants were residents from the Project Area. There was a total of 305 online survey participants who completed this question.

PARTICIPANTS RELATIONSHIP TO PROJECT AREA





4.1.2 Online Survey Area Participants

The Project Area was divided into 10 Areas, as shown on Figure 3 below, to better understand how participants' views towards different opportunities related to where they live in the Project Area. Please note that the Areas are not representative of any pre-determined plan for the Project Area.




Figure 3: South of Wye ARP Project Areas





While there was representation from each Area, the Areas with the most representation by landowners included Areas 6, 8, and 10. Many participants preferred not to identify which Area they own property within. There was a total of 92 survey participants who completed this question.

PARTICIPANTS PER AREA




4.2. Online Visioning Residential Workshop Participants

Two online visioning workshops took place, one for residential landowners and one for commercial landowners within the Project Area. The results of the residential workshop are shown in the charts below. The charts below do not include information from the commercial area workshop. Given the low turnout (2 participants and only 1 being a commercial landowner), the event was revised to be a general conversation. Comments received from the commercial workshop have been recorded in the overall information gathered.

4.2.1 Online Visioning Residential Area Participants

Residential workshop participants were also shown Figure 3: South of Wye ARP Project Areas and were asked to identify which area they owned property within. A large portion of the residential workshop participants were landowners within Area 5. Other Areas that had a large representation of landowners includes Areas 4, 8 and 10. There were no participants who identified that they were a landowner in Area 3. There was a total of 16 residential workshop participants who completed this question.

PARTICIPANTS PER AREA



*Not all attending participants responded to this question








4.2.2 Who lived or owned property in the Project Area

Residential workshop participants also shared how long they lived or owned property in the Project Area. A majority of participants identified that they have lived in the area for 2 years or less, while some participants lived in the area for 5-10 years, and others owned property adjacent to the Project Area. There was a total of 21 participants who responded to this question.

HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED OR OWNED PROPERTY IN THE PROJECT AREA?



^{*}Not all attending participants responded to this question







5. What We Asked

The public engagement associated with Phase 1 of the project gathered input and feedback to develop the project Vision and Guiding Principles. These are foundational components of an ARP and will be critical for informed decision-making as the project progresses. The draft Vision and Guiding Principles will be shared and finalized through participants input and feedback during Phase 2.

5.1. Here's what we asked you

The County was keen to hear participant responses to the following questions:

1. What are your three favourite things about the South of Wye ARP Project Area? What do you really value about the Project Area? What makes visiting, working or owning a business in the Project Area great?



- 2. What issues, if any, do you think currently exist in the Project Area? If you could change anything about the Project Area what would it be?
- 3. What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the commercial and residential areas?



- 4. What else, if anything, would you like us to consider or keep in mind as we move forward with the Area Redevelopment Plan Project process?
- 5. Do you have any additional comments related to the South of Wye ARP Project?





5.2. Here's what you told us

5.2.1

... about your favourite things about the South of Wye ARP Project Area:

Through the survey...

A large proportion of survey participants highlighted the **convenient location** of the area, with easy access to restaurants and commercial and retail services. Survey participants identified that they enjoy the quiet, safe, and peaceful atmosphere of the area, which has a **rural feel** with the benefit of urban amenities nearby. The residential **character of acreages and country residential lots** were noted as a main draw to living in the area, coupled with the proximity to Sherwood Park and Edmonton.

"Country feel within the city" - Survey participant

Residents and visitors love the **mature trees** in the neighbourhood and access to greenspace, highlighting local **nature and wildlife** as a treasured asset of the community. Many survey participants identified that they enjoy the community just as it is, they feel that **things are good as they are** and do not want to see large changes to development. Other survey participants acknowledge that while the potential for **redevelopment is understood and expected**, there is concern surrounding what this will look like in the future, and **how new development will transition** to existing land uses.

Through the residential workshop...

Several long-time residents of the area shared their appreciation for the quiet, rural feel of the area, which they recognize has changed a lot over the past years. While some residents feel things are good as they are and don't need to change, many recognize the potential for redevelopment. While development is expected, there is a concern about the future and what it will look like. Residents specifically cited concern over loss of personal property, potential tax increases, and the level of input they will have in this plan.

"Enjoy living in the area, and not opposed to development"

- Residential workshop participant







5.2.2

... about current issues in the South of Wye ARP Project Area, and what you would like to see changed:

Through the survey...

Many survey participants expressed that there is **no pressing need to change**, and that things are good as they are. The area's existing **greenspace** was highlighted as an important asset to conserve. Many survey participants would like to see an increase in greenspace, supporting more **active transportation connections** and general **walkability**. Specifically, a **walking/cycling trail along Range Road 233** was mentioned many times as a priority for the community. Participants also stressed that the area lacks **sidewalks** to support pedestrian access to commercial areas which are currently car-oriented. Several participants also expressed a need for **more parking** in the commercial area.

A number of survey participants identified that they would like to see increased density in the area, recognizing the cost saving of servicing more compact development. Several participants also showed interest in connecting properties to municipal services. Home owners in the area expressed concern over the impact that rezoning would have to their property taxes.

"More services to the land. We are on cistern and septic." – Survey participant

A large majority of survey participants raised **traffic concerns**, explaining that it is **difficult to access the area** and that the traffic patterns are **confusing to navigate**. They explained that many vehicles use Range Road 233 as a cut through instead of going to the Anthony Henday, leading to **traffic noise and congestion**. Access to Wye Road was also listed as a concern. It was suggested that a turning light for northbound traffic on Range Road 233 and Wye Road would help during peak traffic hours. Many participants also mentioned that **Ordze Avenue** and the surrounding area is difficult to navigate. While some participants suggested improvements to transportation infrastructure such as road widening, signal changes and traffic calming to improve traffic and access concerns, others suggested they did not want to see vehicular traffic increase and would prefer if it decreased.





Through the residential workshop...

The most common issue raised at the residential workshop was **the need for more active transportation connections within the community**, especially walking and cycling trails. Many residents indicated that a pedestrian route along Range Road 233 is a key active transportation connection that is currently missing. Traffic volumes along Range Road 233 were also a common concern, as well as the need for municipal servicing in country residential areas.

"Cannot access nearby areas – so as far as health and wellness is concerned, its not an area that encourages people to walk and be active"

- Residential workshop participant

Through the commercial workshop...

Commercial workshop participants described the large changes they're witnessing in terms of commercial vacancy and how commercial spaces will be used in the future. They would like to see a plan which allows for **flexible redevelopment** which can **respond to the market conditions of the future**. Similarly, variation between current and future parking needs was noted as an important consideration, as transit, ride shares, etc. become more common. Some participants also noted that increased **residential density** in the area supported by good pedestrian connections are important for local commercial viability in addition to vehicular access.

"It's always important to have more rooftops within walking distances - if they are too far away developers are not interested – a little different here with the drive by traffic, but the area could benefit from more people within walking distance and more connectivity" – Commercial Workshop Participant







5.2.3

... about opportunities you would like to see in the commercial and residential areas

The Project Area was divided into 3 Zones based on distance from Wye Road, to consider different redevelopment opportunities by Zone (see Figure 4 below):





Figure 4: Project Zones

... about opportunities in the commercial area (Zone A)

The chart below summarizes survey results for the question: "What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the commercial area identified on the map as Zone A?". Please note that this question was not asked in either of the workshops, as the commercial workshop had limited participants, and the event was revised to be a general conversation.



NOTE: Participants could choose multiple responses, therefore percentages may not add up to 100.

Overwhelmingly, survey participants would like to see more sidewalks or multi-use trails connecting throughout Zone A and to surrounding areas. Local open space such as plazas, community gathering areas, or landscaped areas were also identified as opportunities for Zone A. Many survey participants would also like to see mixed-use development in the area. A minority of survey participants do not want to see any change in this area.


Survey participants who selected "other" stressed the opportunity to increase greenspace and natural features, increase parking, and to increase the commercial space in general.





... about opportunities in the residential area (Zone B)

The chart below summarizes survey and online residential workshop results for the question: "What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the residential area identified on the map as Zone B".



NOTE: Participants could choose multiple responses, therefore percentages may not add up to 100.

A large portion of participants identified that they would like to see more sidewalks or multi-use trails connecting throughout Zone B, as well as to surrounding areas, including the existing commercial area to the north. There is a split between participants who would like to see more housing diversity such as subdivision of the country residential lots to create opportunity for other low density housing options, medium density housing options and high density housing options, and those who like this area as it is and do not want to see any changes.







Survey participants who selected "other", identified that they would also like to see more amenities added to the area, maintenance of current residential lot sizes, preservation of existing green spaces, and the addition of low income/affordable housing. One participant suggested that garden suites may be a potential future solution to adding density and affordable housing to the area.


At the residential workshop, participants expressed that they understand the potential for redevelopment in the area, although many do not want to see a major increase in density. They would like to maintain the character of the area and see a large opportunity to increase pedestrian connections within Zone 3, to surrounding areas, and connecting to the commercial area. Participants stressed the importance of considering a buffer between commercial and country residential land uses.





... about opportunities in the residential area (Zone C)

The chart below summarizes survey and online residential workshop results for the question: "What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the residential area identified on the map as Zone C".



NOTE: Participants could choose multiple responses, therefore percentages may not add up to 100.

Participants identified that they would like to see more sidewalks or multi-use trails connecting throughout Zone C and to surrounding areas, including the commercial areas to the north. There is a split between participants who would like to see more housing diversity such as subdivision of the country residential lots to create opportunity for other low density housing options, medium density housing options, high density housing options, and those who like this area as it is and do not want to see any changes.

Participants who selected "other", also stressed that this area needs more greenspace, including walking trails, parks, and plantings along roadways to buffer sound.







5.2.4

... about other things to keep in mind as we move forward with the Area Redevelopment Plan Project process

and

... about any additional comments related to the South of Wye ARP Project?

Through the survey...

Participants raised concern about the future and stressed that they feel that this area does not need to change. There is apprehension about increases in housing density in this area. There were also participants who commented in support of higher density redevelopment and additional housing options. Participants reiterated that they would like more pedestrian and cycling connectivity within the area and stressed the importance of preserving and increasing greenspace and natural features. Participants raised that they would like to review engagement feedback and want to be kept informed about what is happening with this project.

"I would like you to consider leaving well enough alone and not infringing on the beautiful country residential!!" -Survey participant

Through the residential workshop...

Residents expressed concern over the divided opinions in their community, and how to find a path forward that the community can agree on.

- "Everyone is impacted by development so the plan needs to be holistic"
- -Residential workshop participant







6. Future Public Engagement & Communication Opportunities

Additionally, we gathered feedback on how participants wanted to engage as well as how they would like to hear from the County regarding this project this input will be used to inform future engagement opportunities.

6.1 Here's what we asked you

- 1. How would you like to participate in this public engagement process?
- 2. How would you like to hear more about the project? What communication methods do you prefer?

6.1.1

... about how you want to engage on this project moving forward

Participants ranked their preference for future public participation in the following order. Generally, all options were supported.



- 2 In-person drop-in open house sessions to share knowledge and understand the issues
- In-person structured workshops or focus groups
- 4 One-on-one meetings in person
- 5 One-on-one meetings by phone






6.1.2

... about how you want us to communicate moving forward

Participants also selected the communication methods they prefer. The highest preferences are for e-newsletters, information in the local newspaper, and on the County website, although all communications methods are supported.



NOTE: Participants could choose multiple responses, therefore percentages may not equal 100







7. What Comes Next

Based on what was heard in Phase 1, a Vision and Guiding Principles for the South of Wye ARP Project will be drafted and presented back to the public in Phase 2. This engagement will occur in December 2020. Engagement will continue to explore these topics to determine a variety of ways to achieve a shared Vision and Guiding Principles. After the Vision and Guiding Principles are finalized at the end of Phase 2, potential land use concept scenarios will be developed and shared back with the public for review in Phase 3. Engagement on potential land use concept scenarios is anticipated to occur in the spring of 2021.

These continued discussions will be recorded and summarized in subsequent What We Heard reports.





The following Appendix provides a summary of ALL comments received during the Phase 1 public engagement period. The comments are organized by both engagement question and event.

8.1 Question 5.1.1:

What are your three Favourite Things about the South of Wye ARP Project Area? Consider the following when you write your answers: What do you really value about the Project Area? What makes visiting, working or owning a business great?

| Convenience to amenities | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| Commercial area | Online Survey |
| Combination of retail and residential | Online Survey |
| Reasonable variety of businesses | Online Survey |
| I love that its not concentrated in shopping centres | Online Survey |
| On a commuting route | Online Survey |
| Older area with park like atmosphere by Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Accessibility to businesses | Online Survey |
| Growth of the county population | Online Survey |
| The trees, feeling like I'm out of the city | Online Survey |
| Businesses and restaurants | Online Survey |
| Spacious Acreage | Online Survey |
| Close to where I live | Online Survey |
| Country Residential Life Style | Online Survey |
| Close to all the amenities | Online Survey |
| Businesses | Online Survey |
| Retail beside acreages | Online Survey |
| Few traffic lights | Online Survey |
| Reasonable sized family houses | Online Survey |
| Mature Neighborhood with the feel of small -town | Online Survey |
| It's quiet but has a lot of land | Online Survey |
| Proximity to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Distance | Online Survey |
| Its history as an early part of Sherwood Park | On <mark>line Su</mark> rvey |
| Ease of reaching businesses | Online Survey |
| Old trees | Online Survey |
| Great location | Online Survey |
| Ease of access to retail | Online Survey |
| easy access to business | Online Survey |
| Peaceful environment | Online Survey |





| Acreage living close to amenities | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| We value the privacy and prxomity to amenities | Online Survey |
| Closeness to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Potential bike and walk paths | Online Survey |
| Easy to drive from spot to spot | Online Survey |
| Park Life | Online Survey |
| Piece fo rural close to metro | Online Survey |
| Close to Wye Road | Online Survey |
| Stores are nice to have | Online Survey |
| Close in to Sherwood Park Amenities | Online Survey |
| Home Hardware | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| Close to Urban Area | Online Survey |
| Privacy | Online Survey |
| Living a country lifestyle so close to amenities | Online Survey |
| Close to amenitities - walkable | Online Survey |
| Accessibility | Online Survey |
| Rural type of lifestyle away from the noise of urban area | Online Survey |
| Large residential lots close to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Walkable close to proximity to all amenities | Online Survey |
| Privacy | Online Survey |
| Tranquility | Online Survey |
| The amount of trees in the area | Online Survey |
| The country residential | Online Survey |
| Nature/leisure walks | Online Survey |
| Will help redevelop my property | Online Survey |
| Nature | Online Survey |
| The Privacy | Online Survey |
| The Country side | Online Survey |
| County residential on the edge of town | Online Survey |
| Green space | Online Survey |
| A piece of the county in an urban area | Online Survey |
| Walking paths | Online Survey |
| I like the space | Online Survey |
| Quiet peaceful nature | Online Survey |
| Leave as is | Online Survey |
| Walking throguh the peaceful areas | Online Survey |
| Treed areas | Online Survey |
| Quiet environment | Online Survey |
| Peace and quiet | Online Survey |
| | |





| Walking trails | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| Not densely opulated with commercial & residential buildings | Online Survey |
| the adjacent araea is quiet with little overall traffic | Online Survey |
| Unique Generally peaceful | Online Survey |
| I enjoy the project area as it is currently situated. It is unique and working well | Online Survey |
| for residents Live and work closeby | Online Survey |
| Privacy that the acreages provide | Online Survey |
| Proximity to nature | Online Survey |
| Close to the centre of Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Proximity to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| I like the rural feel of the area | Online Survey |
| | • |
| Access | Online Survey |
| Walkable | Online Survey |
| Close to home | Online Survey |
| close to where I live | Online Survey |
| variety of retail businesses | Online Survey |
| Natural Area | Online Survey |
| Use of space that is not utilized to it's potential. | Online Survey |
| Easy access to Edmonton | Online Survey |
| Proximity to Wye Road | Online Survey |
| Project brings growth | Online Survey |
| Nothing, really. It's just another shopping/apartment building area | Online Survey |
| There are acreages on it - trees | Online Survey |
| Trees | Online Survey |
| Easy Access | Online Survey |
| Accessible | Online Survey |
| Commercial location | Online Survey |
| Connections in community | Online Survey |
| Prefer existing natural landscape | Online Survey |
| Undeveloped | Online Survey |
| close | Online Survey |
| BEAUTIFUL OLD AREA | Online Survey |
| Walk ways. Very dangerous to walk on RR 233 | Online Survey |
| Access to Retail | Online Survey |
| Rural setting | Online Survey |
| Restaurants | Online Survey |
| close to ALL amenities | Online Survey |
| nothing really | Online Survey |
| access to businesses | Online Survey |
| Handy access to stores from the south | Online Survey |
| | |





| Location | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| Easy to get in and out of | Online Survey |
| a quiet area close to ammenities | Online Survey |
| Green spaces | Online Survey |
| rural feeling close to services | Online Survey |
| Rural feel that is near the urban area | Online Survey |
| Lots of old trees | Online Survey |
| Easy access no matter where I am coming from. | Online Survey |
| Trees and green space | Online Survey |
| It has retained its country feel - spacious! | Online Survey |
| Walkability | Online Survey |
| Location | Online Survey |
| Green space | Online Survey |
| vacant areas can be developed | Online Survey |
| Historical property to SP proper | Online Survey |
| Access to Wye Road | Online Survey |
| Green space | Online Survey |
| Businesses | Online Survey |
| free parking | Online Survey |
| Close to residence | Online Survey |
| close to home | Online Survey |
| green space, trees | Online Survey |
| Good retail | Online Survey |
| access | Online Survey |
| good location off the highway | Online Survey |
| Rural Characteristics | Online Survey |
| location | Online Survey |
| Easy access | Online Survey |
| Why? | Online Survey |
| n/a | Online Survey |
| Retail | Online Survey |
| easy access | Online Survey |
| Access | Online Survey |
| Close to the city, but still in the country | Online Survey |
| Existing is great | Online Survey |
| Some space | Online Survey |
| So Much Potential for future residential development | Online Survey |
| Location is prime | Online Survey |
| new development | Online Survey |
| Several shops I like | Online Survey |
| Woods/natural areas | Online Survey |





| Closest major retail and fast food | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| shopping | Online Survey |
| Varied small businesses | Online Survey |
| Nothing. Disgusted that more land is being destroyed for nothing | Online Survey |
| Easy access to businesses and highways. | Online Survey |
| Rural type feeling | Online Survey |
| Trails and walking paths | Online Survey |
| Walkable area, close to ammenities | Online Survey |
| stores not found else where | Online Survey |
| The proximity to amenities | Online Survey |
| Connection to Sherwood park | Online Survey |
| central | Online Survey |
| Good selection of businesses | Online Survey |
| Restaurants | Online Survey |
| retail | Online Survey |
| Large, treed residential lots. | Online Survey |
| Convenient location | Online Survey |
| easy access | Online Survey |
| Visibility off Wye Road | Online Survey |
| Proximity of businesses to home | Online Survey |
| Growth | Online Survey |
| Peaceful (until Development came) | Online Survey |
| A good variety of supportive retail close to our home | Online Survey |
| Plenty of trees | Online Survey |
| I enjoy the green space, trees and peaceful feeling of the area | Online Survey |
| Trees | Online Survey |
| Restaurants | Online Survey |
| Lack of buildings | Online Survey |
| Not congested | Online Survey |
| Not crowded | Online Survey |
| I love the country feel | Online Survey |
| New businesses | Online Survey |
| Green Space | Online Survey |
| mixed residential | Online Survey |
| green areas | Online Survey |
| High density | Online Survey |
| ease of access | Online Survey |
| walking to essential stores | Online Survey |
| good for acreage not for farming | Online Survey |
| Close to where i livr | Online Survey |
| large retail stores | Online Survey |
| | |





| Lack of density | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| variety of business | Online Survey |
| Trees/natural looking | Online Survey |
| location | Online Survey |
| Undeveloped | Online Survey |
| Proximity to my house | Online Survey |
| large yards | Online Survey |
| Good shopping | Online Survey |
| The ability to bypass Wye Road traffic via Ordze | Online Survey |
| keep farm land | Online Survey |
| Diversity | Online Survey |
| Good location | Online Survey |
| More business in the areaa | Online Survey |
| | 3 |
| Retail stores | Online Survey |
| Natural Areas | Online Survey |
| Large trees | Online Survey |
| Good commercial area | Online Survey |
| Updated development of south side | Online Survey |
| Not too dense | Online Survey |
| Nice area | Online Survey |
| Apartments | Online Survey |
| one of Sh Pk early subdivs | Online Survey |
| Retail | Online Survey |
| Access to main roads | Online Survey |
| The residential area in the surrounding area | Online Survey |
| Natural Areas | Online Survey |
| Have to pass through the area daily to get home | Online Survey |
| country living setting | Online Survey |
| Its nice to develop on this side of town | Online Survey |
| Residential | Online Survey |
| Convenient services on my routes | Online Survey |
| Natural look | Online Survey |
| Great Location | Online Survey |
| close to my residence | Online Survey |
| i do like the look of the area after it has been developed, it definitely was under utilized before | Online Survey |
| Convenient to my home | Online Survey |
| Green space | Online Survey |
| It provides a mix of retail and residential space. | Online Survey |
| Ease of access | Online Survey |
| Peaceful living | Online Survey |
| | |





| Good access | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| proximity to town | Online Survey |
| location | Online Survey |
| I like the green space created by the closure of the golf course | Online Survey |
| | |
| Residents | Online Survey |
| rural blend | Online Survey |
| that the area has the potential to better serve the changing needs of the community | Online Survey |
| Commuting distance | Online Survey |
| Walkable area through Campbelltown Heights | Online Survey |
| Close proximity to town center | Online Survey |
| Great location | Online Survey |
| Unique residential opportunity | Online Survey |
| Walking paths | Online Survey |
| More value to SP | Online Survey |
| Peace and quiet | Online Survey |
| Rural vibe | Online Survey |
| treed landscape | Online Survey |
| like the choice of businesses | Online Survey |
| Being close to all the amenities, but feeling like your very rural | Online Survey |
| Mature desirable area | Online Survey |
| Natural looking | Online Survey |
| Free flowing traffic | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| Larger lots with mature trees and older smaller houses | Online Survey |
| Private but close to amenities. | Online Survey |
| Mature properties | Online Survey |
| Proximity of many services, retail, food, entertainment etc and still proximal to acreages and higher density neighbour hoods | Online Survey |
| parking available to use when at a business | Online Survey |
| Community | Online Survey |
| ease of access | Online Survey |
| retail options | Online Survey |
| wide selection of businesses | Online Survey |
| Wildlife | Online Survey |
| Lot size | On <mark>line Su</mark> rvey |
| It provides a safe environment for outdoor activities in our backyard. | Online Survey |
| Spacious acreage | Online Survey |
| proximity to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Nice mix of stores | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| County historical area | Online Survey |





| Mood more bousing | Online Current |
|--|----------------|
| Need more housing | Online Survey |
| People live there | Online Survey |
| privacy | Online Survey |
| Cdn tire | Online Survey |
| feels like the country | Online Survey |
| feels like the country | Online Survey |
| Good Access to main roads | Online Survey |
| lot size | Online Survey |
| growing a large vegetable garden, flower garden, and fruit trees | Online Survey |
| Major increase in traffic on RR 233 | Online Survey |
| closer to home | Online Survey |
| abundance of wildlife and greenery | Online Survey |
| The project is taking land already within Sherwood Park and not using farm land | Online Survey |
| Near to amenities | Online Survey |
| Nature/Greenery/Wildlife | Online Survey |
| Small town feeling | Online Survey |
| serene tree area | Online Survey |
| less hoops to go through for redevelopment | Online Survey |
| quiet | Online Survey |
| walking trails | Online Survey |
| Quiet and peaceful | Online Survey |
| Provides an Escape from the the commercial real estate nightmare that Sherwood park has become | Online Survey |
| Low traffic | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| The location | Online Survey |
| I live in the area and don't want change. | Online Survey |
| The peace the undisrupted land brings | Online Survey |
| Low traffic | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| I grew up in Sherwood Park and bought a home out here because it is different then Edmonton. | Online Survey |
| Gorgeous walkways and paths for fresh air and exercise | Online Survey |
| Enjoying nature | Online Survey |
| Low density housing | Online Survey |
| Wildlife and trees | Online Survey |
| Strong nature presence | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| I live on the storm pond within Salisbury village and face the beautiful greenspace across the pond. | Online Survey |
| amenity for all of Sherwood Park. Many walkers , joggers,cyclist often in families | Online Survey |
| Walk to commercial services | Online Survey |
| | |







| Green spaces. Trees. Public paths and access. | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| proximity to retail | Online Survey |
| Close but still private residential area | Online Survey |
| Provides a buffer to residential further south | Online Survey |
| Good big box stores | Online Survey |
| Quite in the midst of chaos | Online Survey |
| Parks | Online Survey |
| More tax dollars | Online Survey |
| Low traffic volume | Online Survey |
| Accessibility | Online Survey |
| abundance of nature | Online Survey |
| like the landscaping/nature in this area | Online Survey |
| knowing your neighbors and maintaining that rural feeling | Online Survey |
| Easy Access | Online Survey |
| Two large retail stores / supermarkets | Online Survey |
| Not having to stop every 30 seconds | Online Survey |
| Natural areas/mature trees | Online Survey |
| Many acres available to enjoy nature and wildlife | Online Survey |
| Green space | Online Survey |
| History | Online Survey |
| promotes shop local | Online Survey |
| traffic flow | Online Survey |
| Proximity to amenities | Online Survey |
| Privacy | Online Survey |
| Lot sizes | Online Survey |
| close to the hamlet | Online Survey |
| larger pieces of property | Online Survey |
| Remove roads | Online Survey |
| acreage living | Online Survey |
| Good car wash | Online Survey |
| wildlife | Online Survey |
| wildlife | Online Survey |
| Potential for development | Online Survey |
| proximity to town | Online Survey |
| living with nature, although we don't see as many foxes, coyotes, rabbits, moose and deer since the development of Balmoral Heights | On <mark>line Su</mark> rvey |
| Commercial butts up against country residential - no transition | Online Survey |
| could area be developed for small business use? not condo, stand-alone buildings? | Online Survey |
| closeness of urban amenities | Online Survey |





| There is a good flow of traffic for owning a business and for higher density living | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| it has a country appeal within the area but close to shopping and the transportation to Edmonton | |
| Close Proximity to Amenities | Online Survey |
| Not congested | Online Survey |
| enjoy the rural sense of community | Online Survey |
| clear vision and plan for the area | Online Survey |
| privacy | Online Survey |
| not congested | Online Survey |
| Walking trails | Online Survey |
| Provides a much needed diversity of property close to Sherwood park | Online Survey |
| Natural area | Online Survey |
| Balance of city and acreage | Online Survey |
| The nature | Online Survey |
| The diversity is what attracted us to the area. | Online Survey |
| Wildlife and Forest | Online Survey |
| Low population density | Online Survey |
| Low traffic | Online Survey |
| I do not want more density. | Online Survey |
| Stunning properties and high values | Online Survey |
| Getting away from commercial areas | Online Survey |
| Proximity to services in sherwood park | Online Survey |
| Close to amenities | Online Survey |
| Privacy | Online Survey |
| Access to wye road | Online Survey |
| Its pleasant towalk from Salisbury thru Campbelltown and enjoy the nature embedded within the residential neighborhood. Commercial or condo spaces would not allow for this enjoyment. | Online Survey |
| space to grow large garden | Online Survey |
| City amenities with suburb benefits | Online Survey |
| Countryside feel within the city. The quiet it brings. | Online Survey |
| both high and low density housing providing options to a variety of residents | Online Survey |
| Ease of access from Wye Road | Online Survey |
| I like how it feels like a snapshot of early Sherwood Park with the style of some of the homes | Online Survey |
| Safe | Online Survey |
| not busy | Online Survey |
| location | Online Survey |
| Not too urban | Online Survey |
| Mixed use of residential and business. | Online Survey |
| Near Salisbury greenhouse | Online Survey |
| Proximity to my residence | Online Survey |
| Project will help businesses | Online Survey |







| Probably the same as #1 it is residential, not sure we need more development | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| Trees | Online Survey |
| Appearance | Online Survey |
| variety | Online Survey |
| LOCATION | Online Survey |
| Traffic Calming on RR 233 | Online Survey |
| Housing | Online Survey |
| Slower traffic | Online Survey |
| multiple housing options | Online Survey |
| close to main entry and exit roads | Online Survey |
| easy to move traffic into out of businesses | Online Survey |
| away from traffic & industry | Online Survey |
| I feel further development should cease in this area, as it is too congested / clogged-up already | Online Survey |
| The area is still slightly quiet due to the main shopping center being Emerald Hills. | Online Survey |
| Good Roads | Online Survey |
| variety of services | Online Survey |
| Accessibility | Online Survey |
| Lack of strip malls | Online Survey |
| good signage | Online Survey |
| not big mall environment | Online Survey |
| quiet | Online Survey |
| uncongested | Online Survey |
| easily accessible by transit | Online Survey |
| Close to Sherwood Park amenities | Online Survey |
| parking | Online Survey |
| Why? | Online Survey |
| n/a | Online Survey |
| Retail | Online Survey |
| Variety | Online Survey |
| Density is low! | Online Survey |
| good planning | Online Survey |
| possible area sport facility | Online Survey |
| Need for additional services/economy of south side of the Park | Online Survey |
| Lack of industrial infrastructure | Online Survey |
| recreation | Online Survey |
| Visibility from Wye Rd | Online Survey |
| stop destroying the land | Online Survey |
| Close to the Henday | Online Survey |
| Potential to offer both residential and commercial development opportunities | Online Survey |
| proximity to major roadways for communting | Online Survey |







| | T |
|--|---------------------|
| accessibility | Online Survey |
| Close to home | Online Survey |
| walkable | Online Survey |
| Great mix of businesses | Online Survey |
| Exciting | Online Survey |
| Quiet (until Development came) | Online Survey |
| Quiet | Online Survey |
| Na | Online Survey |
| Private | Online Survey |
| good access | Online Survey |
| Good access | Online Survey |
| close to Henday. | Online Survey |
| Good use of the land | Online Survey |
| proximity to Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Undeveloped | Online Survey |
| No too many traffic lights | Online Survey |
| proximity to services | Online Survey |
| Needs work | Online Survey |
| Area needs to have a finished look. | Online Survey |
| Location | Online Survey |
| Good restaurants | Online Survey |
| Services the county and city | Online Survey |
| Historically important | Online Survey |
| Retail | Online Survey |
| not too densely populated | Online Survey |
| Convenience | Online Survey |
| variety of retail along Wye Road | Online Survey |
| Opportunity to have new stores in sherwood park | Online Survey |
| Area for growth | Online Survey |
| Quiet Walking Areas | Online Survey |
| The quiet | Online Survey |
| Aesthetics | Online Survey |
| location | Online Survey |
| How much pay offs to the residence | Online Survey |
| green area | Online Survey |
| That a higher density use would be available without using farmland | Online Survey |
| Peace and quiet – country lifestyle, don't want to see that change | Residential Meeting |
| Been in the area for 48 yrs – into 3rd generation – country lifestyle – ability to walk to Walmart (shopping); very unique and positive attributes; tranquility; slow pace life next to an urban setting | Residential Meeting |
| special area; abundance of nature, trees are very special; emotionally connected | Residential Meeting |
| | |





| Been in the area for 4 generations, property on corner of RR233 and Ash Street. The property is not as quiet; 23,000 cars go by everyday. Ready for some change for some higher density. RR 233 in-between Wye Commercial and | Residential Meeting |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Balmoral estates is a gap and has potential for redevelopment the tranquil nature of the area. Moved here to raise family. Privacy, quiet lifestyle, and proximity to amenities. 20 min from Downtown Edmonton. There shouldn't be any commercial in this area. Most of the land should be retained for country residential; there could be pockets for redevelopment done in a sensitive manner and thoughtfully planned. | Residential Meeting |
| Enjoy living in the area, and not opposed to development | Residential Meeting |
| Asset is the location and amenities and proximity to arterial roads. | Residential Meeting |
| May be a lot of retired people in cambelltown heights | Residential Meeting |
| Family has lived in Cambelltown heights for over 60 years. Was chosen for the pastoral environment and that has changed. It doesn't even feel like a rural community | Residential Meeting |
| Still feels safe | Residential Meeting |
| Acreage within the City. Amazing for raising a family. It has changed a lot in the past 22 years. | Residential Meeting |
| County in the city | Residential Meeting |
| Wildlife moving through your yard | Residential Meeting |
| Close to urban area but far from hustle and bustle – away from noise – more peaceful | Residential Meeting |
| Beautiful, heavily treed, separate but connected, amenity for SP, dog walking, biking walking | Residential Meeting |
| Peacefulness, country living with amenity | Residential Meeting |
| Swooping in, feel like they may not have a say, quiet, retirement. | Residential Meeting |
| Large lot size, privacy, | Residential Meeting |
| The major benefits of the area, proximity to Sherwood park, ease of access to Edmonton / airport 20 – 25 mins, very central | Residential Meeting |
| Even though have residents have acreage living, the area has the benefits of a urban community | Residential Meeting |
| Mature landscaping and trees | Residential Meeting |
| Access to services (Sherwood park) | Residential Meeting |
| One resident noted that they have always known that there is a possibility of redevelopment, but be they like the area they are willing to live with that | Residential Meeting |
| Snow clearing is decent | Residential Meeting |
| Snow clearing, hauling water – all part of the lifestyle of the area | Residential Meeting |
| A Very comfortable place to live | Residential Meeting |
| owns property south of Walmart, 60 years | Residential Meeting |
| Proximity, close to amenities, Sherwood park, white mud, wye road, Anthony henday – good access, very efficient transportation | Res <mark>iden</mark> tial Meeting |
| One resident has lost land to the widening of range road and ash street | Residential Meeting |
| They love living there – love the country residential lifestyle | Residential Meeting |
| Use to ride hoses along range road 233 | Residential Meeting |
| traffic has increased, very busy, bumper to bumper traffic | Residential Meeting |





| | D |
|---|----------------------|
| one resident sees the benefit of creating some new style of development that | Residential Meeting |
| would benefit the community | |
| 2017 cap region plan – stated that county does need higher density housing, | Residential Meeting |
| growth priority – they welcome the ARP to see what kinds of situations that can | Tresidential Meeting |
| | |
| happen through the process | |
| Redevelopment is expected – but doesn't make sense for Sherwood park to | Residential Meeting |
| develop a new down centre | |
| Concerned that developing a new town centre will increase taxes significantly | Residential Meeting |
| May lose citizens to this | Residential Meeting |
| <u> </u> | Decidential Meeting |
| Concern with taking farmland for redevelopment – it makes sense to use the | Residential Meeting |
| subject lands for additional redevelopment than existing farmland | |
| agree with above comments | Residential Meeting |
| residents want a general sense of what will happen and what the area will look | Residential Meeting |
| like in 15 years | |
| Want to see what it is going to look like going forward | Residential Meeting |
| WELCOMING to DEVELOPMENT, WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN | Residential Meeting |
| | _ |

Note: there was no data collected from the commercial meeting on this question

8.2 Question 5.1.2:

What issues, if any, do you think currently exist in the Project Area? Consider the following when you write your answers: If you could change anything about the Project Area what would it be?

| Ensure that the ENTIRE area is serviced by a Fibre Optic Network so that its current and future internet demands can be met. | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| Sidewalks | Online Survey |
| Incorporate as part of the Hamlet of Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| Bike trail and walking path on 233 | Online Survey |
| More lower income units available in the area | Online Survey |
| Parking is difficult and limited / there is no pedestrian or bike path along RR 233 / the traffic and access is convoluted - difficult to access the area, strange traffic patterns to navigate and potentially dangerous | Online Survey |
| Roads in and out become congested with additional traffic cutting through the area | Online Survey |
| No issues | Online Survey |
| I imagine that property owners want to subdivide to gain the most value from their acreages. There may be issues with noise from increased traffic. The residential properties may not be connected to municipal water/sewer. | Online Survey |
| Ensure there is green space | Online Survey |
| Not aware of any. | Online Survey |
| Not a very attractive area - could use some revitalization (planting trees, beautification, multi-use trails) | Online Survey |
| I don't know a lot about it, other than there were/are acreages there. Not sure if there still are. I don't think we need more development in the county. | Online Survey |
| It's a swamp. | Online Survey |
| Nothing | Online Survey |







| Servicing capacity for utilities and probably traffic volumes as well | Online Survey |
|--|--|
| Trails need to Be built. Can't wait for road widening on 233 | Online Survey |
| We really do not need this area pillaged by man's concrete and buildings | Online Survey |
| - Lack of walk ways on RR 233. Supposed to be a pathway system but it does | Online Survey |
| not exist in real life, only on paper. | |
| - Too much traffic uses the road as a cut through instead of going to the | |
| Henday. | |
| - Useless turning light southbound on RR 233 at Ordze Avenue for Walmart. | |
| During busy times it is always two lights to get through going northbound and maybe 1 car. | |
| - Also need a turn light for Northbound traffic on RR233 and Wye Road. Right | |
| now there is only a southbound, but northbound should have it as well during | |
| busy times, 7 to 9 am and 3 to 6 pm. | |
| - Like how Salisbury development area has turned out. With condos at the | |
| front and larger single family homes towards the back. Would be fine with high density residential south of Walmart on Ash Heard | |
| - Would be fine with high density residential south of Walmart on Ash. Heard they have been trying to put in Seniors housing there and have not been | |
| permitted to. | |
| Less traffic | Online Survey |
| Unsure | Online Survey |
| This community has historically catered to the developers that come in and | Online Survey |
| build luxury housing units; | |
| Council needs to focus on encouraging and enticing developers to build FOR | |
| seniors- affordable & SUSTAINABLE housing. Consider such things as one level units (NO STAIRS), wider doorways, | |
| wheelchair accessibility and other barrier free options. | |
| Enough already - on private for profit facilities; they're not sustainable. | |
| None | Online Survey |
| Traffic disturbing residents | Online Survey |
| If traffic light controls are to be used which I feel is the safest and the best way | Online Survey |
| to control and monitor infractions. Make sure the turn lanes control lights have | |
| adequate time. Some in the Park are terrible not enough time for flow, causes | |
| people to run yellows and sometimes RED | Online Survey |
| Not sure | , and the second |
| The focus on the project area should always be the residents of the area. NOT | Online Survey |
| the visitors and the businesses. The residents pay substantial taxes already. In many cases (like acreages), there isn't even a streetlight on the road. The | |
| snow is cleared fairly regularly in the winter, but the grass in the ditches maybe | |
| gets cut once a year, at best. Acreage owners have to pay for their own septic | |
| / sewage and water. Septic systems and cisterns are costly. That's a lot of | |
| taxes for MINIMAL services. Please do not cater to traffic commuting on Range | |
| Road 233. The Henday, and Sherwood Park Freeway are viable options for | |
| commuters. As far as businesses - they often don't have skin in the game so they don't really care about the residents or the area - just about the holy | |
| Dollar \$\$\$ | |
| Most of the development in it is single story, we should be building up! | Online Survey |
| Visibility to Wye Road and especially Range Road 233 is lacking, needs more | Online Survey |
| signage or advertising. Visibility at the bend on Ordze by the Dairy Queen is | |
| challenging when you are headed Eastbound and someone heading Westbound | |
| wants to turn into the Canadian Tire Entrance right at the bend. Please think | |
| about not only the type of business that would go into that current empty lot, | |
| but also the access and parking. That area has a lot of trucks due to being | |







| country residential and having major home improvement stores so parking that can actually handle a truck and cars at the same time would be much appreciated. | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Somewhat confusing intersection where Ordze Ave and Ordze Crescent meet | Online Survey |
| Removal of trees | Online Survey |
| None | Online Survey |
| Too much traffic congestion | Online Survey |
| Access for residents - lack of walkable spaces. | Online Survey |
| Avoid building generic strip malls which Sherwood Park is known for unfortunately. | Online Survey |
| Use the empty buildings currently available, and not build more, just for tax purposes. | Online Survey |
| Better holistic design of its development so that it would not look piecemeal | Online Survey |
| No opinion. | Online Survey |
| Traffic on Range road 233 is already heavy and should not be allowed to get any heavier. | Online Survey |
| Loss of green space and over density | Online Survey |
| Need more safe walking / biking trails into Sherwood Park. | Online Survey |
| Improve traffic flow, decrease congestion in some areas | Online Survey |
| Why is it necessary? There are so many areas that have empty buildings!, | Online Survey |
| Service road | Online Survey |
| More retail space. More local shops, grocery, etc for residents to be able to go to. | Online Survey |
| Access. Good roads and trails. | Online Survey |
| Most of the housing is very old and utilities are outdated. If houses are sold they would require a major investment to keep these homes usable and up to standard. Would be easier to buy up the area and make a GREAT NEW Residential development which is still actually part of sherwood park and NOT SOME STUPID IDEA OF HAVING TO. CROSS HIGHWAY 16 to get to THE NEW SUBDIVISION. | Online Survey |
| Traffic access off of westbound wye road | Online Survey |
| traffic lights allow traffic to back up on Wye road and north of Wye road during busy times | Online Survey |
| Inefficent use of space but otherwise no major issue | Online Survey |
| More commercial | Online Survey |
| 233 is too narrow for the traffic it carries from 528 (the Whitemud) to Wye road. Either limit the traffic, or widen the road to 4 lanes. | Online Survey |
| Not do it. There are many areas already built sitting empty. Utilize these areas before destroying more land | Online Survey |
| N/a | On <mark>line Surv</mark> ey |
| Swampy area, soil stability etc. | Online Survey |
| No longer serves country residential. Change zoning to increase density. | Online Survey |
| Poor access. Not much worth visiting. | Online Survey |
| I would like to see more walking/biking options into Sherwood park. Right now it's very dangerous to attempt. | Online Survey |
| Not enough parking for restaurants Home Hardware needs a bigger yard. | Online Survey |







| Traffic, access limited to Wye Road; could be better with access to RR 233. Walkability in area is very poor. | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| Strathcona County is taking away access to our site (Walmart site) off RR233. This change is NOT warranted by traffic counts nor by traffic accidents. I cannot, after countless meetings, determine the reasoning to remove this access to the Walmart site, other than to spend taxpayers money and gain nothing. | Online Survey |
| When unfamiliar with the area, its a little difficult to know which exit to take off Wye that will be the best route to the business you want to visit. | Online Survey |
| Taking away more land from nature. | Online Survey |
| Return to residential, the way it was. | Online Survey |
| the closure | Online Survey |
| Can't think of anything | Online Survey |
| Why do we need more sprawl | Online Survey |
| Has a reputation of problems with water, and sewage in older acreages and seniors townhouses | Online Survey |
| Poor walking paths | Online Survey |
| More shops by local owners. | Online Survey |
| poor land development, poor planning for pedestrians/cyclists or alternates to cars. lack of affordable housing ie. non market housing. too many developments that do not pull the area together. | Online Survey |
| Traffic organization needs to be thought through | Online Survey |
| less gas stations. | Online Survey |
| I would increase parking for Home Hardware customers. | Online Survey |
| I can't think of anything. Maybe more street lights south of Wye? | Online Survey |
| I think the county should fix the secondary roadsLiving in the country and taking the country road to Fort Sask. is unrealmaybe one or Mayor should travel on this road.(.Partridge hill) This road is getting narrow all the time | Online Survey |
| Too many lights along Wye rd | Online Survey |
| Lights | Online Survey |
| As is, is good, just make progress for completion. | Online Survey |
| The ratio of development vs maintaining natural habitat and developing a park trail system | Online Survey |
| Sprawling Commercial | Online Survey |
| I think we need to start going up and not just spreading out. It would help with water, sewer snow clearing etc | Online Survey |
| Prevent over crowding and tall structures | Online Survey |
| Don't know of any issues | Online Survey |
| Leave area alone - has existed since early 80s just fine - spend no more money in this very depressed economy | O <mark>nlin</mark> e Survey |
| Traffic and construction | Online Survey |
| More speed and traffic calming measures, cars and busses fly by in a max 50 residential zone and around parks. | Online Survey |
| Congestion. For the same reason I love the area, any south Sherwood Park commuters have to pass through to get to Edmonton. Traffic flow and efficiency would need to be considered. Residential upgrades should be build with shortcut prevention and traffic calming in mind. Leave any commercial areas free flow to improve traveling efficiency. | Online Survey |







| Currently the businesses occupying the location behind kal tire and a&w dont interest me | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| Really bad parking lots, some areas look a bit run down and "slummy" | Online Survey |
| I live over in Aspen Trail so I just wish it wasn't as far to get over to that side. | Online Survey |
| I have no issues with the area | Online Survey |
| With the space yet to be developed I would like to see affordable housing being built for low income families and seniors. More apartments and less condos. | Online Survey |
| More hiking and walking trails. | Online Survey |
| Not for it to happen | Online Survey |
| The project area consists of under utilized land for commercial or high density housing next to the major commercial hub in Sherwood Park. | Online Survey |
| I would add the golf course into the plan | Online Survey |
| Red tape time | Online Survey |
| It does not look welcoming or friendly | Online Survey |
| | Online Survey |
| Traffic volume and noise, lack of walking access/trails. Trapped between Wye corridor and new developments to the south. Lack of transition. | Online Survey |
| The roadways and flow of traffic in the retail area can sometimes get congested. | Online Survey |
| Improved intersections at Ordze and Sherwood Drive. Eliminate other access points to/from Wye Road. | Online Survey |
| Too may acreages | Online Survey |
| Disjointed development between people that want to maintain property and those that want to develop. Losing what makes Sherwood park a park! | Online Survey |
| More paths and parks that all connect together. | Online Survey |
| None | Online Survey |
| Path linking 233 to Wye road and north | Online Survey |
| Ordze can be difficult to navigate | Online Survey |
| Too much traffic on Rge. Rd. 233, too much garbage from the businesses like HH, Wendy's, Dairy Queen, Canadian Tire, McDonald's. Earl's is the only business who clean up their parking lot and take responsibility for the litter. | Online Survey |
| accessibility! driving or walking in these areas can be terrible | Online Survey |
| I think the current County Council has to appreciate the nature of the Country Residential life style, its unique, and its also part of the County's history as one of the first acreage sub-divisions. While I appreciate there are owners who think the commercial development of these acreages is forward looking I feel that perspective is unfounded as these residential acreages offer an unparalleled life style balancing rural and urban. I am all for change however | Online Survey |
| that change needs to be balanced and intentional. widen the road on RR233 for proper access into the lots on RR233. Needs a | Online Survey |
| footpath from Fountain Creek. | |
| Traffic flow | Online Survey |
| Traffic in the existing developed commercial area is difficult to navigate particularly Ordze Ave. | Online Survey |
| Traffic flow out of Walmart, the inexcusable red light WB on Wye at Safeway. | Online Survey |
| None. I think the changes to the roads have been good. | Online Survey |







| Amount of traffic funneled into the area from numerous mcmansions built to the south Access to areas such as wye gardens due to poor road planning and unbridled expansion | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| Nothing. It is absolutely fine the way it is and I am beyond disgusted and enraged at County Council's continued insistence on making unnecessary and harmful changes to the County. The attitude of "more more more" is appalling. Perhaps the council should consider upgrading existing buildings (i.e. schools) that need attention, instead of trying to push landowners off their property for the sake of "progress". ENOUGH. | Online Survey |
| Ability to subdivide into smaller parcels of land. | Online Survey |
| The curve in the road at West end of Ordze. Lack of greenspace, trees etc and maintenance of existing spaces within commercial areas. | Online Survey |
| Ordoz -all the different names make it awkward to find a business the first time. | Online Survey |
| Bicycle/walking trail along length of Rg Rd 233 into Sherwood Park as pedestrians are in danger walking that road; plus the importance of a walkable neighborhood; traffic congestion at the lights; no left hand traffic signals to turn onto Wye Rd | Online Survey |
| Ensure adequate parking Bicycle and pedestrian access are important | Online Survey |
| Can't think of anything | Online Survey |
| Access to the businesses doesn't appear to be well planned with a service road in some areas and none in others. Cosmetic appeal of the commercial area is varied and could use improvement. This is a major point of access for people in Sherwood Park. It could be made much more attractive. | Online Survey |
| Increase in traffic through and around Campbelltown Heights | Online Survey |
| Addition of sidewalks to access Wye Gardens from Sherwood Park | Online Survey |
| More services to the land. We are on cistern and septic. | Online Survey |
| We don't want to have a commercial area up to our boundary. Rather I would like to see wye road gardens area 4 and 8 re-zoned at the same time rather than having a construction zone in our area. We are also concerned about the county taking advantage of the property owners by way of taxation attempting to tax us out of our property. We are prepared for judicial action if required. We believe that we will continue to have grandfather rights on our property and it's usage. | Online Survey |
| i am concerned about the fact that if we re-zone our acreage, we may render ourselves open to capital gains taxes to the Federal Government of Canada. i also wonder to what extent the property owners have in personal development of their property. We are also concerned about future county taxation and as such the acreage owners are prepared for legal challenges. If this gets to litigious, we will vote against the county's attempt to commercially develop this sub-division. | Online Survey |
| Make it more walkable | Online Survey |
| It really seems like these residents will get taken over by bigger developments encroaching at their doorstep | Online Survey |
| Waste of space | Online Survey |
| Nothing. | Online Survey |
| Getting to be the run down side of town, if there is such a thing in sh pk! I guess just the least popular shopping area | Online Survey |
| traffic and constant construction | Online Survey |
| Plant large trees(or trees that will grow) around busy roadways. keep things the same otherwise. | Online Survey |
| | |







| My concern is only with the NE section of Wye Road Gardens. I live in Balmoral Heights bordering the south end of Wye Gardens and overtime do not want to see the whole area redeveloped with high density housing, retail or commercial. We pay some of the highest taxes and a change to the existing large residential lots would be terrible for our home values. The zoning should have been changed when Walmart was built and the housing developments on RR 233. The west side of RR 233 is extremely busy traffic wise without sidewalks and the east side is very quiet and tranquil. All of Campbelltown Heights should flow to all both types of living. High taxes, qovernment doesn't respect private property. Developers and acreage owners already impacted by previous/ongoing development seeking to develop their acreage properties as they have already been impacted by increasing noise/activity/traffic etc. They are looking to change zoning to sell their properties for more \$ as they are no longer committed to the subdivision as country residential - now looking out for their own individual interests. The lack of a walking/bike riding trail on RR 233 is a safety issue and needs prompt attention (has been years in planning). Don't change anything Don't change anything The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice | T | Online Survey |
|---|--|---------------|
| developments on RR 233. The west side of RR 233 is extremely busy traffic wise without sidewalks and the east side is very quiet and tranquil. All of Campbelltown Heights should flow to all both types of living. High taxes, government doesn't respect private property. Developers and acreage owners already impacted by previous/ongoing development seeking to develop their acreage properties as they have already been impacted by increasing noise/activity/traffic etc. They are looking to change zoning to sell their properties for more \$ as they are no longer committed to the subdivision as country residential - now looking out for their own individual interests. The lack of a walking/bike riding trail on RR 233 is a safety issue and needs prompt attention (has been years in planning). Don't change anything The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project, so no issue, so far Not aware of all aspect of the project, so no issue, so far Not aware of all aspect of the project so no issue, so far Not aware of all aspect of the project so no issue, so far Not many provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Online Survey The been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Sallsbury, the wildlife has d | Heights bordering the south end of Wye Gardens and overtime do not want to see the whole area redeveloped with high density housing, retail or commercial. We pay some of the highest taxes and a change to the existing large residential lots would be terrible for our home values. | • |
| Developers and acreage owners already impacted by previous/ongoing development seeking to develop their acreage properties as they have already been impacted by increasing noise/activity/traffic etc. They are looking to change zoning to sell their properties for more \$ as they are no longer committed to the subdivision as country residential - now looking out for their own individual interests. The lack of a walking/bike riding trail on RR 233 is a safety issue and needs prompt attention (has been years in planning). Don't change anything The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project. so no issue, so far Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic Online Survey Pive been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environme | developments on RR 233. The west side of RR 233 is extremely busy traffic wise without sidewalks and the east side is very quiet and tranquil. All of | Online Survey |
| Developers and acreage owners already impacted by previous/ongoing development seeking to develop their acreage properties as they have already been impacted by increasing noise/activity/traffic etc. They are looking to change zoning to sell their properties for more \$ as they are no longer committed to the subdivision as country residential - now looking out for their own individual interests. The lack of a walking/bike riding trail on RR 233 is a safety issue and needs prompt attention (has been years in planning). Don't change anything Online Survey The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project. so no issue, so far Online Survey Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic Online Survey T've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. | High taxes, government doesn't respect private property. | Online Survey |
| Don't change anything The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred . Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project. so no issue, so far Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic Online Survey Online Survey Voe been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages I wouldn't change anything I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what Online Survey | Developers and acreage owners already impacted by previous/ongoing development seeking to develop their acreage properties as they have already been impacted by increasing noise/activity/traffic etc. They are looking to change zoning to sell their properties for more \$ as they are no longer committed to the subdivision as country residential - now looking out for their own individual interests. The lack of a walking/bike riding trail on RR 233 is a | Online Survey |
| The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just another urban development. Wye Road has been overtaken by so many developments & businesses it has lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project. so no issue, so far Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic Online Survey Online Survey I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is I wouldn't change anything Online Survey I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | | Online Survey |
| lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been mainly ignored, dismissed or at best given pipe service/voice. Not aware of all aspect of the project. so no issue, so far Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic Online Survey Online Survey I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is I wouldn't change anything Online Survey I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | The country residential subdivisions particularly Campbell town heights are unique and beautiful quiet places to visit and going for nice walks or bicycling. I wouldn't change a thing about that area. The biggest issue the county seems to be that the county wants to change it wanting to change the area to just | Online Survey |
| Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic None Online Survey I would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is I wouldn't change anything Online Survey I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | lost some of it's natural beauty. Many acreages were sought after to have a more rural life which is now being encroached upon by businesses & industraliation. This has devalued the land and caused environmental issues. The healthy peaceful lifestyle once enjoyed is marred. Input provided has been | Online Survey |
| Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare birds etc call this area home. access / traffic None Online Survey I would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is Online Survey I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what Online Survey | Not aware of all aspect of the project, so no issue, so far | Online Survey |
| None I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is I wouldn't change anything Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey | Nothing, leave it as it is. It is a beautiful treasure not only for immediate residents but for adjacent residents to walk through and enjoy nature. Rare | Online Survey |
| I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages I wouldn't change anything Online Survey Online Survey I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | access / traffic | Online Survey |
| I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. It would be nice to have RR233 connect to Ordez road - leave the acreages area as is I wouldn't change anything I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | None | Online Survey |
| area as is I wouldn't change anything I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what Online Survey | I've been visiting the area for nearly 18 years and since the development of Salisbury, the wildlife has dropped off significantly. I've ridden my bike with my family through Campbell town every week showing my kids something different about the city of Sherwood park. North of Campbell town, Sherwood park feels like a parking lot. Campbell town heights provides a unique experience. Birds, insects, trees and swamp. It's a beautiful environment. | |
| I wouldn't change anything I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey Online Survey | g and a second s | Online Survey |
| I see no issues. Please leave as is. Online Survey I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what Online Survey | | Online Survey |
| I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what Online Survey | | · |
| on should be what happens. | I would change the fact that they County should have zero say I'm what happens to our land and that what the majority of residents of the area agree | · |
| Expand walking paths Online Survey | Expand walking paths | Online Survey |
| Online Curvey | To leave it the same. | Online Survey |







| Updating existing commercial Maintain acreages | Online Survey |
|--|---------------------|
| Way too many multi-storey apartment rentals. The density provides a wall - not a sense or feeling of community. Definitely a lack of transition between urban and more rural areas. Opportunities were missed in architectural guidelines, colour for winter communities and a more mixed development. Traffic volumes on Range Road 233 and appropriate left turning signals on Wye Road to head west. Where is the pedestrian access??????? | Online Survey |
| Nothing. Your question assumes we want change. | Online Survey |
| Keep it the way it is right now - no changes | Online Survey |
| Lack of side walks and walking trails. | Online Survey |
| Increase in car traffic, noise and light pollution | Online Survey |
| I do not want the area to become more developed with high density housing. | Online Survey |
| Density. I do not want to see higher density of people in the area. | Online Survey |
| I wouldn't change anything and leave it as is. If anything create even more natural/green elements and more walking/biking paths within the natural parts of the residential areas. | Online Survey |
| Stop the continuing proposals for change and get backing from the County in this regard - vs support for negating agreed provisions re development that resulted from significant work and input on the part of the community ,. Honour application of agreements arrived at thru public engagement - make latter truly mean something . Note : comments are specific to our area . In respect of the project area as defined by Planning, it is too broad - commercial area (South Of Wye Road ARP) should be split back out -and looked at separately re impacts after scenarios developed - scenarios could impact other areas which border the subdivisions also. Combining the two as one from the onset can overshadow the voices of country residential and does not meet the intent of the motion or comments/discussion in Council re a possible new ARP for the country residential subdivisions | Online Survey |
| I don't believe any issues exist. | Online Survey |
| Long term home owners not wanting change | Online Survey |
| I would like areas 3 through 10 to remain as acreages. These areas are such a beautiful green space that it would be a shame to introduce any commercial or high density residential. | Online Survey |
| I would like to see the project area remain as it isCampbelltown Estates in particular. | Online Survey |
| Water and sewer services are desirable – if they're cost effective | Residential Meeting |
| RR 233 is busy – would be nice to reduce traffic | Residential Meeting |
| Lack of sidewalks, walkway – | Residential Meeting |
| Connectivity in a safe manner within the different areas | Residential Meeting |
| Sewer and water can be extended at owners' expense- it was done in Campbelltown Heights | Residential Meeting |
| Noise, close to walmart | Residential Meeting |
| Litter from the businesses | Residential Meeting |
| Lack of sidewalks | Residential Meeting |
| People who come to hang out near the storm water pond. There is a trail but it isn't really used. | Residential Meeting |
| Range road 233 is a very busy road (23,000 vpd) | Residential Meeting |
| | |







| People who camp in the back of creek. | Residential Meeting |
|--|---------------------|
| Haphazard development of cambelltown heights over the past 20 years. Understand that change is going to come but need to have an organized plan for the whole area. | Residential Meeting |
| Decisions shouldn't be made for individual properties. Landowners should have a lot of input for the next vision of cambelltown heights. Look to future as a whole. | Residential Meeting |
| Walkability should be better. | Residential Meeting |
| Traffic is really bad | Residential Meeting |
| It doesn't feel safe to cycle. | Residential Meeting |
| The opportunity to rezone. It no longer meets the requirement of country residential. What is the highest and best use. | Residential Meeting |
| Range road 233 | Residential Meeting |
| Living at south edge – would be nice to see fire hydrants | Residential Meeting |
| Would be nice to see – 233 easier biking along 233 – is a tough bike ride | Residential Meeting |
| Not much commercial south of wye in walking distance depending on what area you are in | Residential Meeting |
| More trails walkways – people need space especially more density – Similar to trails in Salisbury | Residential Meeting |
| Buffering from current commercial into country residential Ordze Park – one crescent road - Think it is hard to do | Residential Meeting |
| Ordze Park – one crescent road - Think it is hard to do commercial or high density – due to current condition road, not room to widen or deal with density or traffic | Residential Meeting |
| Depends were you are what you can and can't do | Residential Meeting |
| Get away from constant proposals to change, county developers. Transition housing. Country residential concept plan with section for strategies for transition, feels like we are stepping ahead | Residential Meeting |
| Don't want to move. | Residential Meeting |
| Storm pond separation | Residential Meeting |
| High taxes | Residential Meeting |
| Traffic | Residential Meeting |
| Land taken by road widening (resident just located south of wye) | Residential Meeting |
| Snow clearing (but part of the lifestyle) | Residential Meeting |
| Downside – hauling water and sewer | Residential Meeting |
| The area could have better trails for hiking / walking | Residential Meeting |
| Cannot access nearby areas – so as far as health and wellness is concerned, its not an area that encourages people to walk and be active | Residential Meeting |
| It is a really car-oriented area | Residential Meeting |
| Natural amenities in the area that should be taken advantage of that could enhance walkability | Residential Meeting |
| Walking on RR233, not an option, too busy, ash street getting busier | Residential Meeting |
| Have to drive to access trails to walk / ride bike | Residential Meeting |
| Would be nice to have trails accessing south of the study area (south of range road 233 | Residential Meeting |
| We are seeing a lot of change right now with retail spaces | Commercial Meeting |







| Many businesses, such as restaurants, are going under and there will be lots of vacant space, but there likely won't be demand to fill these spaces | Commercial Meeting |
|--|--------------------|
| Tenants have to re-evaluate office space because people are working from home and its working well – what do you do with these empty buildings? | Commercial Meeting |
| Demise of brick and mortar really needs to be considered | Commercial Meeting |
| There is a lot less demand and it's tougher to attract tenants | Commercial Meeting |
| Flexibility in the ARP would be helpful to allow for a mix of uses and to allow businesses to develop into something different - retrofitting into structures that would be more responsive to market | Commercial Meeting |
| Have to make sure that you don't impair others property values – for example, you don't want a big commercial development right next to a residential development | Commercial Meeting |
| No one wants to live along Wye Road – wouldn't want residential along there | Commercial Meeting |
| Small lots are constrained by parcel size | Commercial Meeting |
| The biggest impact with the ARP will be to residential | Commercial Meeting |
| It's always important to have more rooftops within walking distances - if they are too far away developers are not interested – a little different here with the drive by traffic, but the area could benefit from more people within walking distance and more connectivity | Commercial Meeting |
| Concerns from the residential landowner that businesses are going to extend south into Wye Road Gardens and there will be more density – doesn't want to see this happen and wants it to stay as is. | Commercial Meeting |
| Inquiring about what looks like a subdivision located within the TUC – it was noted that prior to the TUC, there was a crescent with acreages here (Humbert Crescent) | Commercial Meeting |
| Access to commercial sites is important | Commercial Meeting |
| There will be less need for parking in the future and what can be done with this excess land (eg Walmart site) – there may be opportunities to redevelop parking lots | Commercial Meeting |
| Need to consider how much parking do different stores have? Does it make sense? What are parking trends now, and how will parking trends be 10 years from now? | Commercial Meeting |
| How is parking distributed and is it making sense in alignment with what these properties need and how they grow and change over time | Commercial Meeting |
| Noted that there are RCs in place for some commercial that governs how much parking is required | Commercial Meeting |
| Potential for shared parking lots, that provide parking for different businesses at different times | Commercial Meeting |
| There is a future with so much ridesharing etc - you could walk out of your house, grab a rideshare car and be somewhere in one minute – this could really change things | Commercial Meeting |
| There is major construction on Wye Road currently - the transportation corridor along there is challenge and I never received any information on this - Why is it necessary to change the traffic patterns? Why change things that work? | Commercial Meeting |





8.3 Question 5.1.3 (Zone A):

What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the commercial area, identified on the map above as Zone A? (responses to "Other – please specify")

| Better Parking for some businesses | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| More trees and decorative gardens. Beautification projects | Online Survey |
| Greed, greed | Online Survey |
| Some connecting multi-use trails | Online Survey |
| more green space | Online Survey |
| Develop a thin commercial area | Online Survey |
| Keep residental buildings away from Zone A due to traffic on Wye | Online Survey |
| no more apartments! Sherwood Park is littered with them. They are an eye sore and omst of them are sitting half vacant. | Online Survey |
| More parking for restaurants and Home Hardware | Online Survey |
| Low Cost housing | Online Survey |
| More Commercial spaces | Online Survey |
| Buffers from Residental area | Online Survey |
| More commercial development within Cdn Tire parking lot area | Online Survey |
| Community gathering areas and landscaped areas that keep much of the heavily treed feeling of the area. | Online Survey |
| Natural spaces/parks | Online Survey |
| Zone A is already commercially developed, i.e. walmart Salisbury Village etc. | Online Survey |
| continued commercial uses | Online Survey |
| don't agree with 'development' zones being established within the country residential subdivisions and usurping part of the scenario dev't which is supposed to happen thru consultation | Online Survey |
| please no more apartments. stand-alone commericial. not condos. convenience stores, bakeries, etc. where individuals can own the land. | Online Survey |
| well thought out access to the businesses | Online Survey |
| stores that we dont currently have in sherwood park ex. Sephora, designer stores, lush | Online Survey |

8.4 Question 5.1.3 (Zone B and C – Residential Workshop):

What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the residential area, identified on the map below as Zone B and C? (responses to "Other – please specify")

| Don't like the zones – there are different character areas within the Zones itself | Resi <mark>dential</mark> Meeting |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Echo the previous comment – do not want to see any redevelopment | Residential Meeting |
| infringement in Campbelltown Heights; future planning needs to be very | |
| considerate of development/investment that has already occurred in this area. | |
| Need to make sure new development does not take away from enjoyment of | |
| the area i.e. country residential character | |







| Echo the previous comments – lots of investment has occurred lately in new | Residential Meeting |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| housing; will be tough to come up with a land use concept; provide increased connectivity in the ARP; allow only a limited amount of density; high-density housing is out of character for this area; the opportunity for higher density and | |
| commercial passed; what does subdivision of CR lots mean? What size? | |
| Echo previous comments | Residential Meeting |
| Close RR 233 – is it realistic to close it? Or slow down traffic? Its not pedestrian or bike-friendly. Add RR233 in the ARP; ARP needs to address the locational attributes of different areas i.e. parcels along RR233 vs the middle of CR area | Residential Meeting |
| Pleased to see there is an openness. More openness in section B. Zones were such a wide swath. People who live in the middle of the zone B may have different opinions from edges of zones B. The lines drawn didn't make sense. | Residential Meeting |
| Zones don't make sense. We understand the need for a transition from higher density at the top and lower at the bottom. | Residential Meeting |
| Having some roadways as boundaries make sense. | Residential Meeting |
| Farther away you get from the commercial the worst it is. | Residential Meeting |
| Slightly higher residential (low and medium density) is nicer to live next to than commercial. Bringing more people to be closer to the existing commercial. | Residential Meeting |
| Scale important | Residential Meeting |
| 233 – almost a main arterial – more planning to allow some development Areas 1 and 2 to buffer the commercial – maybe Salisbury – | Residential Meeting |
| intensity has increased in not working now – there is no buffer now Areas 1 and 2 | Residential Meeting |
| Above does makes sense along 233 to allow some development – buffer people in area 5 and area 8 – allow people to keep their property | Residential Meeting |
| Transiting important | Residential Meeting |
| Area 3 and 7 – utilities corridor and balmoral heights – not good for high density | Residential Meeting |
| Arterials and buffer back | Residential Meeting |
| No where to go if more high density – people use private yards as public space through garbage – this gets wore as density increases | Residential Meeting |
| Medium or high density – best place long 233 because it is a high traffic road | Residential Meeting |
| Wye road – is road allowance still there – issue with going though ER | Residential Meeting |
| Re- subdivision – depends on lot configuration – road access – Campbeltown height – ones fronting on to RR 233 may be too long and narrow | Residential Meeting |
| Commercial along 233 only mixed use – senors complex mixed – residential on top – small scale local commercial | Resi <mark>dential</mark> Meeting |
| north to south – distance away from 233 | Residential Meeting |
| Park for sure – more community space | Residential Meeting |
| 50 years, a new multiuse trail- broken into twice, inviting a criminal element with open space and trails, lot size makes a big difference 35-50 foot lots as apposed to split in half transition is very important, respect the process, faster pace of life want slower life | Residential Meeting |







| Happy with the way things are, ordze park, trail installed resulted in vandalism. | Residential Meeting |
|---|---------------------|
| Happy with the way things are, huge increase in traffic. | Residential Meeting |
| Areas different facing wye or 233 will have different answers | Residential Meeting |
| Trail along 233 coming? Salibury village trail, on road instead of near houses. Small roads tight corners, signage. | Residential Meeting |
| Diversity of low density housing options ZONE B | Residential Meeting |
| Create spaces for community gatherings and landscaping areas | Residential Meeting |
| More sidewalks and multiuse trails (ZONE B) | Residential Meeting |
| ZONE C – a transitional area from higher density to lower density (not super high density) – this way this process doesn't need to be done in another 15 years | Residential Meeting |
| Would be great to integrate a lot of options for multiple uses that would include trail systems and gathering spots in ZONE B | Residential Meeting |
| Medium density multiple use for ZONE C – but building it elegantly, well integrated | Residential Meeting |
| Salibury village area has nice walking spaces and open area | Residential Meeting |
| ZONE C – would like to see higher denisty residential - commercial buildings / multi use buildings, 5 storey apartments (like in Wye Road Gardens) - noted that they think this land could have a very high value | Residential Meeting |
| Expecting the area of ZONE Cwill become like Salisbury village | Residential Meeting |
| Really like the development by festival place – would like to see something like this but in a more country setting | Residential Meeting |
| really like the development in the middle of Sherwood park (skating rink, mixed use buildings, 4 storey apartments) | Residential Meeting |
| might be an opportunity to have transitional housing – for multi -generational, medium density, 4 - 5 storey buildings | Residential Meeting |
| more housing to allow people to age in place | Residential Meeting |
| have a lovely opportunity to make something really great | Residential Meeting |
| creating supportive communities | Residential Meeting |
| Connectivity is critical; acknowledge that RR233 needs to be addressed i.e. traffic, walkability, etc. | Residential Meeting |
| 233 is dangerous to walk, needs sidewalks – there was a study completed 20 yrs. ago; was a road widening at the time? | Residential Meeting |
| Concerns with zoning and the increase in taxes that it will bring. Will it force some people to move? | Residential Meeting |
| Commercial area has good potential for intensification and additional uses | Residential Meeting |
| No need for more huge box stores, those bring in the big trucks and noise | Residential Meeting |
| Probably wouldn't love much more than 5 storey | Residential Meeting |
| Is there an opportunity for people to stay but be able to redevelop part of the property | Residential Meeting |
| Will taxes increase with sidewalks, trails, increased road width? | Residential Meeting |





8.5 Question 5.1.3 (Zone B – Online Survey):

What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the residential area, identified on the map below as Zone B? (responses to "Other – please specify")

| Community Vegetable Garden | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| Large lots allowing for triple car garages | Online Survey |
| Skateboard park | Online Survey |
| this area does not need commercial development to the south of Wye. There are many vacant commercial establishments in existence. Keep the commercial development west of Broadmoor and north of Baseline. | Online Survey |
| Leave the lot sizes as is | Online Survey |
| More recreation | Online Survey |
| Low Cost housing | Online Survey |
| purposely build non market housing | Online Survey |
| Leave it as is. When I go to google maps, I see a lot of green and that is how it should stay. Pavement/buildings do not clean the air for residents. Plants/land/grass/trees do.reen | Online Survey |
| Garden Suites without subdivision, and in reference to first option above - I'm thinking of this in the distant future. | Online Survey |
| A forested natural use area with dirt trails for walking/hiking, or keep it as-is. | Online Survey |
| More green space and access to enjoy that green space. No further residential or especially commercial development | Online Survey |
| Natural green space | Online Survey |
| dig dugout on rule piece of flat land/add small wetlands | Online Survey |
| limit amount of traffic coming from the south(whitemud extension) | Online Survey |
| plant large trees along busy roadways (rr233) to reduce the noise | Online Survey |

8.6 Question 5.1.3 (Zone C – Online Survey):

What opportunities, if any, would you like to see in the residential area, identified on the map below as Zone C? (responses to "Other – please specify")

| A forested natural use area with dirt trails for walking/hiking, or keep it as-is. | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| More green space and access to enjoy that green space. No further residential or especially commercial development | Online Survey |
| Natural settings | Online Survey |
| clean up waterways and plant trees to grow large on busy roadways. etc rr233 | Online Survey |
| plant large trees along busy roadways (rr233) to reduce the noise | Onl <mark>ine Surv</mark> ey |
| Accesses moved off of 233 and kept to the main intersections (Ash, Ordze, etc). | Online Survey |
| garden suites | Online Survey |
| Large estate lots with triple garages. | Online Survey |
| low cost housing | Online Survey |
| Maintain farm land | Online Survey |
| No more apartments! Sherwood Park is littered with them. They are an eye sore and most of them are sitting half vacant. | Online Survey |



| No opinion | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| NO Subdivision of residential lots | Online Survey |
| purposely build non-market housing | Online Survey |
| Recreation complex. | Online Survey |
| senior community similar to Spruce Grove Village | Online Survey |

8.7 Question 5.1.4:

What else, if anything, would you like us to consider or keep in mind as we move forward with the Area Redevelopment Plan Project process?

| Please do not move forward on ANY development plans unless those plans include the mandatory installation of a fibre optic network, including FTTP (EVERY premise, whether it be residential or commercial). | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| Keep density low and improve walking access | Online Survey |
| I love that there are walking trails on the south side of wye road now. | Online Survey |
| I wouldn't want to see increased commercial or residential development without access to municipal services (water/sewer). | Online Survey |
| Stop the sprawl | Online Survey |
| More density, more traffic, more problems, more noise | Online Survey |
| The parking lots and intersections. The county has a reputation for poorly designed intersections, light timing, traffic calming measures, and confusing/odd parking lot designs. | Online Survey |
| WHO PAYS FOR RE-DEVELOPMENT? SHOULD THE COUNTY FOOT THE BILL FOR EXPENSES UTILITY AND ROAD UPGRADES OR SHOULD CURRENT LANDOWNERS SHARE THESES COSTS AS THEY STAND TO BENEFIT FROM THE SALE OF THEIR LANDS? | Online Survey |
| More building means more trafficleave it as it is. | Online Survey |
| With more housing developments, may need area set aside for schools. | Online Survey |
| Building out into an area like this make more sense than expanding to Bremner. | Online Survey |
| Nothing | Online Survey |
| Nothing at this time | Online Survey |
| Keep Green Spaces and some forest | Online Survey |
| Please focus on the residents. The developers should be held more accountable. Examples are Fountain Creek and Sherwood Golf (Coyote Crossing). The developers only care about one thing. The owners of Coyote Creek Golf don't even manage their land (weeds) and the County does nothing about it | Online Survey |
| I would hate to see tree stands and natural areas destroyed by parking lots. | Onli <mark>ne Surv</mark> ey |
| Sherwood Park is a bedroom community of Edmonton. My choice to live here is because it is NOT very commercial, yet it has everything one could need. To increase density around the outside edges will not only change that community atmosphere, but it would make it nothing special; just a clone of a big city. Keep the higher density developments closer to the center of Sherwood Park, closer to existing schools, near transit Don't make the same costly errors Edmonton has made. | Online Survey |
| better pedestrian and bicycle access | Online Survey |
| | |





| Some residents did not ask for this redevelopment plan and would like to keep | Online Survey |
|---|------------------------------|
| the rural (Natural) characteristics of the area. Not sure why this is being done. | orinine survey |
| Enough is enough! Lets leave some countryside. | Online Survey |
| Range Road 233 and Wye road is a busy intersection. Please consider improved traffic flow on both these roads. | Online Survey |
| Greenery is paramount to a welcoming and desirable location. | Online Survey |
| The redevelopment plan should include areas further south as well. | Online Survey |
| A convince store like 7/11 along 233 near Wye road would be good. | Online Survey |
| I am not in favour of high density housing. | Online Survey |
| not all of us want this land destroyed. City people should go back there and leave our land alone | Online Survey |
| Developer transparency | Online Survey |
| No mid to high density residential at all. | Online Survey |
| Some commercial property development in zone A | Online Survey |
| opportunities for low cost housing as there is a huge need. It can be dispersed in the area not just one area. Seen in done in the north and it was great!!! | Online Survey |
| Lets not spend taxpayer money foolishly just to do something. What is the driving force to make changes? | Online Survey |
| Stay the heck away from our paradise. | Online Survey |
| Not sure | Online Survey |
| I do not like an area to be transformed (repurposed) into something it is not, new projects should be on undeveloped land, not repurposing land | Online Survey |
| build true sustainable community. Insist developers build green buildings, get commercial areas to have safe accessible bike racks to promote cycling to shopping/coffee shops. Restrict drive through fast foods etc. set land aside for coops or other non market housing. Do not allow another private expensive senior building to be located there. | Online Survey |
| Development is good, however large open spaces/acerages/large properties should not become a thing of the past. If people want to live on top of each other, they can move to Edmonton. Keep Strathcona/Sherwood Park special. I moved to the area/county so I could see the sky at night (not too many lights) and I could hear the birds (not too much traffic or residential/commercial density. Think of our grandkids and great grandkids. | Online Survey |
| Get to work as soon as you can! | Online Survey |
| Last thing Sherwood Park needs is more urban sprawl or High Density Housing. We moved to Sherwood Park for the higher quality of living and to avoid Condo/Highrise sprawl. | Online Survey |
| There are sooooooo many plazas along wye and a lot of vacancies. I think keeping other zones for low density residential and parkland would be great plus minimizes traffic. | Online Survey |
| Less is more. High density housing does not belong anywhere near here. The apartments in Salisbury Village are MORE than enough. | Onlin <mark>e Sur</mark> vey |
| Just like the residential areas density should be built up over time. The municipal overlay allows for +10% based on neighboring properties. We should increase density heading outward but at a manageable pace. Keep the density towards current commercial zones and less dense towards the country. | Online Survey |
| Leave it as is. Don't change the cambletown area. We have enough concrete jungle in Sherwood Park. Those acreages are a part of the Sherwood park history. Leave it alone | Online Survey |







| Just because there are trees and space between homes, doesn't mean it needs to be redeveloped. | Online Survey |
|--|------------------------------|
| I love it the way it currently is, I don't want to see any changes at all | Online Survey |
| None | Online Survey |
| Any development to the current zone A is welcome however, Aside from better traffic flow, the residential areas in zones B and C should be left as is. | Online Survey |
| If increasing density in the area, access to it should also include consideration of increased traffic density to the south on RR233 down to Sec Hwy 628. | Online Survey |
| If changed out strict guidelines in place to make feel like a European area or are such as the area around library that has a nice European flow. Do not copy other districts like Edmonton that lose the original elegance of the area for cheap. | Online Survey |
| None. | Online Survey |
| We don't need more cookie cutter subdivisions. Leave the rural acreages alone | Online Survey |
| Wye Road Gardens is a lovely subdivision and I do not want it to become a commercial business area or change our entry road to accommodate commercial or business. | Online Survey |
| Take into consideration if this area really needs to be redeveloped beyond what it currently is, given there are more developments coming online, Hillshire, and Bremner. I don't believe there should be any further development of this area beyond allowing people to build new homes as current owners sell their acreages. | Online Survey |
| This development needs to happen ASAP as it's been held up way to long | Online Survey |
| Future ARPs that expand into rural areas should keep a large natural / forested area if the majority is converted to an urban area. | Online Survey |
| This is a key entry route into Sherwood Park park. Please do not make traffic flow worse. | Online Survey |
| We need to watch we aren't catastrophically devaluing existing properties in the area, nor in the greater Sherwood Park area. Existing condos are already loosing significant value and slow to sell. We definitely don't need new resource hungry mansions either. | Online Survey |
| Leave it alone. We don't need more apartments and condos in Sherwood Park, the people that live there chose it because it was large pieces of land. The greedy moneygrubbing from the County is absolutely appalling and I'm sick to death of it. | Online Survey |
| We need to maintain green spaces, ponds and increase the availability of multi- use trails. | Online Survey |
| Strathcona County FAILED to redevelop our "downtown" or Centre in the Park concept. Stores are vacant & unattractive. Where are the independent cafes and boutiques with window boxes and outdoor patios? Where are the hanging baskets of flowers and brick sidewalks? Trees lining the streets? They are in the welcoming downtown cores like Stony Plain, Vilna, St. Albert and Fort Saskatchewan! | Online Survey |
| Maintain the integrity of our County and how we live, work, and play here. | Onli <mark>ne Surv</mark> ey |
| Preservation is of utmost importance. | Online Survey |
| The ability to preserve privacy while enhancing the area is important. Residents moved here for the beauty and privacy it provides. Improving the connectivity of the area would be great, I also understand this comes with the need for more density. A mild increase would not be harmful, but this is not an area for even single family low density development. This is an acreage community at its core and I hope the preservation of such is held closely in consideration. | Online Survey |







| We can accept development in the area but have no intention to be forced of our properties unnecessary. | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| Development of the area and its location in the west part of Sherwood Park is ideal to development. I know of what happened in the Belmoral project. It will be interesting to see how this goes forward. | Online Survey |
| If you increase the number of people we need the infrastructure such as schools and increased road capacity to support it. I am getting tired of traffic increasing and increasing in the county. | Online Survey |
| I think the County should provide services (sewer, water) to theses areas that is the same as they have to all the newer areas around these zones if they already haven't | Online Survey |
| Start moving single residential homes south similar to Lakeland | Online Survey |
| Go build homes and apartments some where else. People already live here. Why do you want to uproot people from their homes to build apartments. Tax grab? | Online Survey |
| What happens to the properties that have pipelines going through them? Will residents have choice in the matter whether to sell or not? If we were thinking of selling, when will we know when this project will start? With properties that have pipelines, are the pipeline companies aware of this proposal? | Online Survey |
| Stores sh pk doesn't yet have so don't have to go into the city | Online Survey |
| there does not need to be more apartment buildings or storage facilities in these areas. sherwood park is valued for its uniqueness and feeling of being out of the city. after this pandemic, we need to focus on maintaining the architecture and keep up what is already here. lets build things to stay and create history. | Online Survey |
| density doesnt always mean better sometimes preserving what there is, is whats best | Online Survey |
| This is our home; we don't want anything changed and we don't want to be pushed out! | Online Survey |
| Thoughtful dialogue between residents. Finding common ground/win-win solutions. Transparency. | Online Survey |
| I have been a Strathcona County resident all my life and am strongly opposed to Apartments. There is not enough parking or road access and really the only thing missing is bike paths and maybe a location for smaller commercial stores. | Online Survey |
| I think the designation of the areas south of zone A are poorly done for planning purposes. Ordze Park should be a separate zone given the extremely limited options for improved road access. Wye Road Gardens has similar problems with no path through zone A, although they do have better access to RNG RD 233 so could be 1 or 2 zones and Campbelltown Heights can easily split into 2 zones, as it appears to have 3 available exits to connect to arterial roads. Having said this I think consideration should be given to the special, unique character of having Country Residential areas mixed in with Urban areas within Sherwood Park/ Strathcona county. | Online Survey |
| Please keep residential lots the way they are. | Online Survey |
| The time has been a long time coming to use this land for the highest and best as Sherwood Park continues to grow and is a very desired community to live in and come back to retire in. This is a gem for a new community. | Online Survey |
| Not much else to add other than the ideal template in my mind would be something like the development around festival place. Also it may be worth while to look more specifically at which owners would like to see changes and then see if there are any patterns that can be made sense of. i.e. homes along 233, homes east and west of 233, homes that border the neighborhoods to the south | Online Survey |







| This is all private property, not some video game like Sim City. | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| Need to ensure consultation process is well balanced and fairly represented (i.e., only one workshop/meeting in Octoberseveral property owners not in attendance. Some owners had more than one person entering responses to the multiple choice questions (were on their own computers) vs other households had two people responding as one entry (sharing a computer). This would skew results if saying"48% support this etc. Need to be very cautious re: validity and reliability of tools and representation of the data/results. | Online Survey |
| There is already a lot of vacant commercial office and store fronts in Sherwood park. As people move away from brick and mortar stores to do online shopping there is no need to take out the last remaining trees in the area to build buildings that will sit empty like the vast amount of empty offices around Millenium | Online Survey |
| I think the country residential areas provide a wonderful general amenity for all of Sherwood Park, they are unique and help make Sherwood Park a great place to live. | Online Survey |
| Would like you to consider the voice of residents who currently live there or who have done so for many years. They chose to make their homes there due to the idyllic country side which was healthier, quieter and more useful. They did not chose to be invaded or have their lifestyles diminished. | Online Survey |
| The current economic circumstances do not justify further development in this area. There is not adequate infrastructure to support this development without harming the natural environment | Online Survey |
| It would be nice to see the area preserved as much as possible. | Online Survey |
| The country residential areas are a beautiful place for a peaceful stroll and shouldn't be changed | Online Survey |
| I want the committee to keep in mind the ACTUAL needs of our community. There is plenty of land north and east of the city to develop if there is such need. There is NO evidence that we require more office or commercial space. Vacancies in commercial real estate have sky rocketed. We are spending more time in our homes than ever before and the last thing we need is more concrete. We should be preserving the ecosystem that so many animals call home in Campbell town heights. We should embracing the diversity and uniqueness of the space. This new ARP feels like it's forcing a non existent need. We don't have massive pent up demand for more parking lots and offices. We don't need more 4 storey condos. The above property values have been decimated by our current reality. Look at Riocan's stock price. There is a oversupply of big box retail. We need to preserve what is left of Sherwood parks character communities. | Online Survey |
| To leave the areas as is and not be pressured by outside influences to rezone for redevelopment and personal gains for the few that want it | Online Survey |
| I would like you to consider leaving well enough alone and not infringing on the beautiful country residential!! I would also like any future commercial development to stay as far away from Campbelltown Heights! This is where Sherwood Park began, why would you want to ruin a piece of history to line some developers pockets that don't even reside in the area or the country! Sherwood Park was originally called Campbelltown Heights leave the area alone! | Online Survey |
| I do not want to see commercial or additional housing development in zones b or c. | Online Survey |
| We don't want the County to change anything. What attracted us to Sherwood park is the current layout. We do not want more density. | Online Survey |







| Please maintain the value of South Sherwood Park. The North side is high density, commercialized. The serenity that comes with these areas is what | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| keeps South so desirable. | |
| We moved to Sherwood Park to live in a suburb. We do not want to be around a ton of people. | Online Survey |
| I think it would be really sad if these areas would be developed into commercial property. This is an opportunity to create and maintain a green space for our community. I moved to my new area because of of the ability to walk and enjoy | Online Survey |
| the beautiful trees and nature. | |
| Trails are a must. Right now the area is very exclusive to those living there. I feel unsafe running on the roadways due to traffic. I would like trails with trees and high biodiversity. I would like the county to keep the current ecosites existing in these areas verses installing landscaped areas. Also, the county MUST consider a seeding bylaw for stripped land waiting for development. There are so many areas around Salisbury village that are bare and creating dust. Maybe the dust control bylaw could be amended to include seeding of bare areas. | Online Survey |
| I would ask that county abide by previous agreements and plans made in consultation with residents prior to past developments adjacent to Campbelltown Heights. | Online Survey |
| Please do not alter Zones B and C. Higher density housing would irreparably damage this beautiful area. | Online Survey |
| Keeping the communities as is! I do not want higher density housing that will crowd the communities and near by amenities. | Online Survey |
| Keep existing residential neighborhoods such as Campbelltown Heights intact to protect the integrity of the developments as they were initially planned & intended. Any changes of density to housing options in these neighbourhoods at this time is a betrayal by Strathcona County to the property owners of Campbelltown Heights & neighbouring Salisbury Village that purchased residential property based on existing plans/bylaws. Other undeveloped areas should be considered for new housing densities. Also, the division of Campbelltown Heights by Zone B and Zone C boundaries with regards to future planning is illogical. This neighbourhood should be kept intact within 1 zone. | Online Survey |
| I purchased my property last year because of the green space that surrounds it and that I thought there would not be any increased commercial or residential development in the adjacent areas that is not already present. The thought of losing the areas natural feel with the existing residential framework really makes me quite unhappy. The only thing that would make me happy is developing a more enhanced green space with better access, which adds to the quality of life for existing residents and stakeholders. | Online Survey |
| See earlier note re splitting the South of Wye Road ARP back out . It can be looked at separately if you wish during the process but should really only be assessed re potential impacts and land use coordination AFTER scenario dev't along with looking at impacts / coordination re other areas which border the 3 subdivisions . Prior Council discussion emphasized importance of input from acreage residents, much of which is in danger of being lost in the crowd . Commercial component is significant and input into the Project when done as one whole is likely to favour increased intensive - redevelopment - may load the dice in one direction. Putting two dis -separate groups into one pot is not appropriate | Online Survey |
| What makes Sherwood park desirable is it's low density population. | Online Survey |
| Save high density living for Central Sherwood Park. These zones are lovely low residential areas but definitely with the potential for commercial and services expansion into Zones B and C | Online Survey |
| onpanion into Londo B drid o | |







| These lots being country residential lots is what makes the area beautiful. Increasing trails and walking access will provide a green space for all to continue enjoying. | Online Survey |
|--|---------------------|
| Mixed opinion. Some say yes | Residential Meeting |
| What's the best use of the whole thing. Is it even possible to preserve country residential given the uses on the north and south end. | Residential Meeting |
| Looking at big brush stroke change. All properties that flank existing commercial are already more like commercial than country residential but whatever that could be. Doesn't have to be highway/big box but | Residential Meeting |
| Everyone is impacted by development so the plan needs to be holistic | Residential Meeting |

8.8 Question 5.1.5:

Do you have any additional comments related to the South of Wye ARP Project?

| No | Online Survey |
|--|---------------|
| We need to start thinking of density not just spreading out it will help with the cost of utilities road maintenance etc | Online Survey |
| Please avoid strip malls. Make it into an interesting place that could be an attraction for county residents. | Online Survey |
| No thanks | Online Survey |
| I would like to see higher end stores being developed. Sherwood park residents typically make a lot of money and i think these stores would do extremely well | Online Survey |
| Keep it low density! | Online Survey |
| Let's keep our natural lands as is and fix up areas that are not being used instead. | Online Survey |
| No large and tall ugly apartments. | Online Survey |
| RE DEVELOPING AN AREA SUCH AS THIS WILL COME WITH CONSIDERABLE COSTS. JUST AS DEVELOPERS SHARE THE BURDEN OF DEVELOPING LAND IN NEW SUBDIVISIONS, LANDOWNERS SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO SHARE THE FINANCIAL BURDEN OF REDEVELOPMENT IN THIS AREA. | Online Survey |
| Not in favour of any high density housing. | Online Survey |
| No | Online Survey |
| Pave 233 from the circle to Wye road. It is a mess. | Online Survey |
| Nothing at this time | Online Survey |
| Get rid of Bremner and use this area among others | Online Survey |
| I am very sad to see all forest destroy. Not very ecofriendly community | Online Survey |
| We need to get moving on these REDEVELOPMENT plans a WHOLE LOT SOONER THAN LATER. We keep plodding along like a typical Government and we waste so much time that we may find us part of Edmonton or looking for subdivision land by Josephberg or out by Shell. We should have NEVER LET THOSE EXPENSIVE ACREAGES GO IN SOUTH of Wye Road instead of knowing Sherwood PArk was running out of land 15 years ago but we plod along as a typical government and only do things when we are cornered | Online Survey |
| Covid may have changed small businesses for good. More online grocery & clothing shopping, registrations, etc, are being done online. Real estate is not needed for residential friendly commercial development. Industrial development, however, may need addressing, and south of Wye is not a good location for that. We are a bedroom community. Why else would I live here? | Online Survey |







| none | Online Survey |
|--|------------------------------|
| It should be the primary focus of any future Sherwood Park development. | Online Survey |
| You come to my house and I'll give a piece of my mind and a kick in the asswhat a crazy, half-brained idea to redevelop our idyllic paradise. | Online Survey |
| stop it now | Online Survey |
| No | Online Survey |
| Please share with us how the final/ultimate decisions will be made. Will the surveys/town halls/focus groups etc. actually play a role in the final decision making as to if/how any changes will be made to the areas A, B, and C. | Online Survey |
| Leave the country residential housing as is. Sherwood Park does not need any more retail and certainly doesn't need any more medium or high-density housing. | Online Survey |
| I purchased my business condo with the understanding from the real estate agent the trees surrounding he condo would not be removed in future development. Being able to see the trees was a primary element of my choice. The county needs to be very careful to maintain the natural environment in future developments to ensure that green spaces are maintained for the health and wellbeing of the general environment and people. | Online Survey |
| I would like to see current updates posted regularly with direct contact persons who are in charge (telephone numbers AND e mails) so that concerns can be addressed without having to go through a switchboard or messaging system | Online Survey |
| Low density construction is needed. Take over operation of the golf course. | Online Survey |
| Don't want to see any more apartments being built! | Online Survey |
| I do not wish to see any re-development that includes medium-high density housing. We seem to have quite a few projects of that nature on the go and it creates stresses on our existing infrastructure and facilities. | Online Survey |
| Long overdue project, thanks for doing this. | Online Survey |
| Please consider keeping it as natural as possible. | Online Survey |
| I do not want to see any development around Salisbury Greenhouse or the cemetery in that location. | Online Survey |
| Please ensure that safe movement of traffic is in place with LESS turn-about which people do not know how to use and more controlled traffic lights and controlled pedestrian lights at cross walks. | Online Survey |
| Some additional higher density housing close to Wye would be good. Additional commercial options as well. However, higher density, and even more single family homes further south will not be welcomed by residents. Residents like the close access to the city without being in the city. It will significantly reduce the current residents enjoyment of the area if the city spreads all the way to the south end of the Zone C. | Online Survey |
| I am concerned about developing an area given the state of the economy, and do we need more occupied spaces. | Online Survey |
| no | On <mark>line Surve</mark> y |
| Don't need any more commercial use!! Keep it more natural | Online Survey |
| When are we going to get water and sewer service to West Whitecroft | Online Survey |
| More reasonably priced seniors apartment buildings - either rentals or to buy | Online Survey |
| We need to ensure good governance as to green space, walking areas, dog off leash areas and open outdoor gathering spaces | Online Survey |
| Just get it done. | Online Survey |
| | |







| This is a very diverse area and I cannot imagine a one size fits all approach. | Online Survey |
|--|------------------|
| There are some uber rich and some extremely modest homes in the area with | Offilitie Survey |
| very different needs and expectations. | |
| Please keep in mind that there are existing neighborhoods close by. The | Online Survey |
| development of the land will affect the value of these properties and it would be | |
| nice for them all to get a bit of an "spit shine" to help the uniformity of the | |
| area. | |
| Just don't touch the Campbelltown area. Those are people's homes. | Online Survey |
| Market, Market. Market! The key to the success of any good project is good | Online Survey |
| communication and exceptional marketing. | _ |
| No where is it written that Sherwood Park needs to expand in size - now or in | Online Survey |
| future. Unless we get rid of Liberal federal government and we can attract back | |
| some of the billions of \$ that foreign investment that left Alberta in the past 5 | |
| years can we consider any monetary expansions . | |
| Good development makes it a pleasure to be a resident of Sherwood Park. | Online Survey |
| Yes, please see previous comments | Online Survey |
| increase public transit access | Online Survey |
| No | Online Survey |
| Good luck with this project | Online Survey |
| 18,000 seniors in this community need to see proof that their sustainable | Online Survey |
| housing needs will be addressed. This can only be achieved by supporting the | 3 |
| builders and developers to lean more towards providing affordable and | |
| sustainable housing. Once a persons home equity is gone (spent on the current | |
| available housing) they become homeless. Something this council and | |
| community has yet to see. | |
| The current perception we have of our affluent community status and one that | |
| is striving to be the most livable is not realistic - yet! | |
| I do think with the Proximity to Sherwood Park urban areas the density of | Online Survey |
| homes in this area needs to increase for sure, I'm just not sure if the utility | |
| service capacity exists. Road needs to be widened on 233 | Online Curvey |
| | Online Survey |
| Remember that not all residents have internet access so paper communication is still important and needed. | Online Survey |
| I am an owner in the subject area. We need more opportunities to speak | Online Survey |
| PRIVATELY about our long-term plans for our individual properties. It would be | Offilitie Survey |
| great if you would poll each residential owner (privately) in the area as to how | |
| they see the land use in 10, 20 and 30 years. | |
| The Campbelltown area has been around for over 50 years and was initially | Online Survey |
| developed when Sherwood Park was barely 5000 people. Now the country | |
| residential is surrounded by Commercial on the North (with the addition of the | |
| Walmart) and Urban Residential on the South, leaving a pocket of Rural | |
| Residential in the middle with little to no transition and a major arterial road | |
| with limited upgrades. | |
| It is quite concerning that any redevelopment of the residential areas (aside | Online Survey |
| from walkways) is being considered. It sets a dangerous precedent for the | |
| future. As a resident in a subdivision just south of zone C, I can't help wonder | |
| what's next? Will my area be redeveloped next with apartment buildings? This | 2 |
| will greatly affect my property value. | Outline Com |
| Please be careful to not make these areas too dense with commercial lots! Maybe just little pockets to serve the needs of locals. | Online Survey |
| Given the residential nature of all the properties south of wye, it makes no | Online Survey |
| sense to continue any further commercial development, if you do it will be | Offinite Julyey |
| Series to sertified any farther commercial development, if you do it will be | |







| haphazard in nature and as properties turn over lose the ability for future generations to enjoy the amazing rich and complex Country Residential life style. | |
|--|---------------|
| This electronic communication is excellent!! | Online Survey |
| Please do not make traffic flow in and out of Sherwood Park worse. | Online Survey |
| STOP. STOP. Let the residents be, for the love of all that is holy. ENOUGH. | Online Survey |
| I think we all know what is built on the identified site so no need to ask us what is built on it. There is too much traffic along Range Road 233. The height of any high rise buildings will appear out of scale for this area. | Online Survey |
| It is important to get input from the adjacent residents as they are highly impacted by the any development. Access by multi user trails and possibly bike stands to accommodate and promote nearby residents to support these areas | Online Survey |
| So far, it is just an idea and one where there are approximately 65 lots, some of which are owned by a single owner. | Online Survey |
| I believe that this project has the best prospect for development. It is in the most westerly part of sherwood park, near Anthony Hendy and Wye Road. This area is an excellent area for future upscale homes, condo developement, commercial property development, etc. closer access to Edmonton, near the buses to go to downtown Edmonton, near Canadian Tire, Wallmart, and various nearby restaurants My family has enjoyed living here over the past decades and it would break our hearts to leave. However, for the right price, we might consider moving | Online Survey |
| Keep sherwood park strong and look out for the people and community we already have after this pandemic. | Online Survey |
| This is extremely sad for our family to feel that our home and way of life is being threatened and that we face years of stress in constantly having to fight to keep our property and potentially being forced out to make developers rich. | Online Survey |
| Thank you for this opportunity to create a vision. Appreciate sensitivity to distance engagement (zoom) during this time of covid. Hoping technology does not keep people away from this process. | Online Survey |
| If we could enhance this wonderful family community with better bike trails and even some commercial stores near wye, I think area could continue being a special place. | Online Survey |
| This is very exciting and more benefitting the county than using farm land. With the close connection to Anthony Henday and White Mud It will be very popular | Online Survey |
| Good luck! | Online Survey |
| Need to ensure there are no pre-conceived ideas influencing the process (i.e., establishing/referring to zones A, B and C in the questions) has already set up divisions/boundaries - whether intended or not. Would have been better to use open ended questions such as "Where do you see any opportunities, if any, for | Online Survey |
| future commercial development within the South of Wye ARP Project?" "Where do you see any opportunities, if any, for high residential urban development within the South Wye ARP Project?" etc | |
| Please do not anything change to this I want to continue to enjoy this neighbourhood. | Online Survey |
| Seems like a money grab on the part of greedy developers and some greedy residents. | Online Survey |
| Please don't destroy character communities to build more cookie cutter developments in the name of development dollars. There is plenty of cheaper, more eco friendly real estate north and east of our hamlet and within the county. Campbell town heights is a legacy community with so much incredible history and environmental benefits to the local community. | Online Survey |







| I do not think that it is right in the way the county has approached this arp by breaking up the subdivisions in question into different zones. It is very misleading to possible options. | Online Survey |
|---|---------------|
| I would like traffic calming in Campbelltown Heights (Speed bumps, sharpe corner signs, and any way to slow traffic) as I have young children, and several neighboring communities like to walk along our quiet subdivision. I don't envision this will get better if the County continues on the path of ignoring what the majority a residents want. | Online Survey |
| I believe anyone living in the area or near the area should be notified via mail as it impacts them as well. | Online Survey |
| What is the purpose of the rezoning? Increasing the tax base for the county or genuine need to space? There seems to be a lot of vacant buildings if thus is going to be commercial. | Online Survey |
| At this moment the local newspapers aren't available in Salisbury village. Please don't rely on that to advertise engagement events. I think the area needs to be developed in a responsible way. Can we keep trees, biodiversity and old suburban values that we see in the older neighborhoods like Sherwood heights? I would consider spending 600 grand on a smaller home on a larger lot with those setbacks and space. I do not want to buy any of the current new single family detached homes available in the county at this time. | Online Survey |
| Do not allow lot splitting and/or multi family buildings. Higher density of people will tax the neighborhoods and it's nature. | Online Survey |
| Nothing more than I already relayed. I would just love for no increased commercial or residential development. The beauty about the South of Wye is the greenery that is already present, just improve that and the accessibility to it. | Online Survey |
| Comment re# 11 responses- appreciate that COVID is requiring different approaches but on a personal basis found the on line workshop difficult. Am a poor typist so putting quick comment on the chat room was not took quite a bit of extra effort- while trying to do so concentration was such that I missed parts including responding to some questions. Nice that chat comments were displayed but trying to read them plus follow the workshop, while also trying to track survey question results was difficult. On line better than nothing but in Person workshops are better for communication /engaging one and also offer opportunity to dialogue/network on the side afterward with other attendees which can be very helpful. Very much appreciated the ability to continue discussions after the on line workshop ward as well as subsequent follow up oportunity. Think South of WYE Road ARP review should be separated outset aside to subsequently consider impacts, if any of redevelopment scenarios. Appreciated the Planning comment about redevelopment, " if any" as all possible outcomes s/b on the table, there are many complexities /issues to discuss - practicality of options /scenarios will play a part. Appreciated Om Joshi's comments re sensitive transition of building type and scale in any redevelopment scenario - no 4 story buildings next to residential, no commercial in the middle of residential etc. | Online Survey |
| It is clear this project is geared towards making more money through property taxes and not what current residents want. | Online Survey |
| It's exciting to see Wye Road as the new hub of development. Let's make it as exciting as Baseline and Emerald Hills are! | Online Survey |
| Strong preference from my family and myself to keep this area as country lots moving forward for all of the privacy and green space they offer. With urbanization seeming occurring at every turn, I believe keep this area as-is would provide the greatest benefit to the south of Wye road community. | Online Survey |



8.9 Email correspondence

Comments/ Questions re South of Wye ARP

- 1. Concern re process # of assumptions and approach appear to presuppose intensive urbanization redevelopment in part or whole:
 - a) Joining "update" of South of Wye Road ARP with acreage subdivisions ARP review adds an existing commercial dimension to original explanations of intent.
 - b) Commercial input as to possible acreage redevelopment is extremely likely to support more commercial /urban development –tilts the scales
 - c) Words "South of Wye" are highly associated with existing commercial dev't along Wye road and invoke a vison of commercial to begin with
 - d) Expressed need to co-ordinate land use planning of acreage areas with the South of Wye Road ARP assumes more of same **prior to development of scenarios** without apparent regard to other areas which border the subdivisions in question.
 - e) Presentation of 'Zones", 2 of which split the three subdivisions in half with questions about potential re- development within them prior to any consultation/input about potential zones or areas is indicative of premature scenario development. The Planning explanation during the workshop that the reason for the Zones was to be able to identify the general location of respondents does not appear to fit with the questions and potential responses. t
 - How specifically does the Zone concept and questions/responses help identify the general location of respondees?
 - Since scenarios are yet to be developed, if there are no predeterminations and since responses can vary by scenario (including if any redevelopment) how do the responses for the subdivision zones (other than possibly the "no change" response), help Planning and us?
 - f) Another explanation for joining the two projects is because of potential infrastructure impacts no question that infrastructure is a potential factor but: Why is this assumed up front versus development of scenarios then testing those scenarios against surrounding areas and the impacts including infrastructure which might not be just in the South of Wye Road ARP? One of the developer motivations/arguments for intensive redevelopment of a lot in Campbelltown Heights as well as an adjoining property in Salisbury Village, is that excess water /sewer capacity exists within Salisbury Village cheap to service the properties.
 - g) Although now rectified, the only reference documents in the Library were the commercial South Of Wye Road ARP ones .The CR- ACP which currently applies and, is a redevelopment document, was not there and there are not any potential survey responses which clearly relate to the CR- ACP which currently legally applies. Early on in the workshop, Janna commented that one option may be splitting a country residential lot into 1 or 2 more lots e.g. 3 out of 1. However, one of the Zone B and C responses was "Subdivision of the Country residential lots







into smaller residential lots". The normal interpretation of "residential lots "is small urban lots. Is this the correct interpretation?

- h) The Nov 19/19 report to Council stated that:
 - "Stormwater management within the area was not considered for the existing density " and
 - "The South of Wye Road ARP <u>also</u> currently has deficiencies with respect to stormwater management." (underling added).

The first statement appears to say that stormwater management for even the low density country residential development has not been looked at – **is this correct?**

The second sentence seems at odds with the Schedule A to bylaw 12-2013 as well as to the first stmt above, the Schedule A report cites a Wye Gardens Stormwater Management Analysis, references South of Wye Road ARP flow rates and pipes in the area to conclude that everything is good and that the lot 33 storm water pond is not needed and can be redeveloped. That analysis appears to be at odds with the 2nd stmt above. How is the forgoing reconciled? What specific stormwater management deficiencies exist within the current south of Wye Road ARP?

Summary;

It was positive to hear Planning say that there are no predeterminations about redevelopment, **if any**. However, when one looks at the totality of various statements, project definition and title, up front setting of zones, initial library et al, it strongly suggests pre determinations or up front assumptions which can colour the whole - affect process and the end result. At the very least, the optics are not good. The big question is how do we get by the forgoing-ensure positively moving forward? Suggestion: Even though the process has started, let's take a step back and separate the South of Wye Road ARP ' "update" (which has taken on the life of a total review) from the subdivision review/ARP process and re-title. This can be done by saying that there still needs things to be done re update of the South of Wye Road ARP, but in terms of potential impacts, land use coordination et al, we are going to take step back to separate the projects, focus on developing subdivision scenarios and then assess them against impacts on all interfaces including the South Of Wye Road ARP as well as against practicalities, costs etc. Comment?

- 2. Concern re weighting of country residential resident input.
 - a) Flowing from much of the preceding is a concern that actual country residential resident input, particularly those who don't want change, or might support limited change, will be lost or overwhelmed by input from the current South of Wye Road ARP plus that from the general public. We discussed after the workshop that the normal way of reporting info back to Council is "X" people said this "Y" said that -it becomes a volume thing





- How will input be managed /weighted?
- If a property within the area has multiple owners and all give separate but similar input, will that count each time or will input be evaluated on a property basis e.g. similiar thing said several times counts once per property?
- 3. Background Information- It would have been helpful to have had more background info prior to the workshop and the survey. I understand that a summary the CR-ACP provisions is upcoming in a back ground report. Actual examples of what is possible what would satisfy the provisions of the CR- ACP would be valuable. A lot of people: a) don't know about the CR- ACP at all or b) don't know what it might mean. The intent of the CR- ACP is to achieve a density of two dwelling units per gross hectare or 129 dwelling units per quarter vs 50 acreage parcels per quarter. Under the CR- ACP, it is our understanding, from previous discussion with Planning, that parcels could range from .3 of an acre to the current full size as long as the target density was achieved overall. Questions: Using Campbelltown Heights as an example:
 - a) Approximately how many .3 acre parcels would be required to meet the CR-ACP target density assuming that the balance of acreages remained at their current size ? and
 - b) Using Janna's example of splitting acreage into 3, approximately how many one acre parcels would be required to meet the target density assuming again that others remained at their current size?
- 4. Service needs/wants I saw this referenced but not sure what the context was. As noted in the report to Council, the majority of Campbelltown Heights owners paid to get full pressure water and STEP sewer. 4 properties in Campbelltown Heights have no municipal water /sewer by express request for exemption. Five CH properties bordering urban dev't have full water and sewer as a result of Campbelltown Heights Residents' Association negotiations with the Wye Road Crossing developer to offset in part being next to urban development with consequent negative impact to country residential property values and lifestyles. If properties which asked for exemption are now seeking such services, such should be weighed against previous opportunity and cost for others which was higher per property due to the exemptions.

Questions arising:

a) Campbelltown Heights (CH) and Ordze Park have existing water and sewer municipal infrastructure. There are cost practicalities and possible stranded investment issues of putting in new infrastructure. We were told that the water and sewer infrastructure for CH was designed for the current number of properties /densities BUT residents do not know if it is maxed out or if additional capacity exists. Question: is there capacity within the current CH infrastructure to service more homes within the subdivision? If Yes, how many more homes? The answer to the preceding could mean that some additional







redevelopment could occur within the current environment. I'm not suggesting that I automatically support the forgoing – it would have to be evaluated closely re all impacts and, of course, full consultation. If, for example, the current infrastructure can support double the amount of existing dwellings in CH, it could mean that each property could have a secondary dwelling for rental or even possible subdivide off a portion of each acreage to accommodate construction of another private dwelling. In the alternative, if the infrastructure can't support double but can support some extra dwellings, how many? The answer to the preceding and to the questions in section #3 would be good info to share so that everyone is knowledgeable about what redevelopment could look like within the current plan and environment. We should know what might be possible now before we get into what else might be possible.

- 5. **Urbanization**. Assuming some parts of the subdivisions become urbanized, points to consider/ answer :
 - a) What consideration/offset benefit will be given to residents who newly find themselves next to urban development and /or expanded busy roadways with consequent negative value to their country residential property and lifestyle?
 - **b)** What physical separation will there be? The South of Wye Road ARP currently provides for a berm plus fence , Wye Road Crossing and Wal Mart areas have a sound/separation barrier wall
 - e.g. some acreage owners near major roadways and/or across from /next to urban/commercial development are not happy they feel that such a location takes away from true country residential living or is not desirable at all for single family residential use. One must acknowledge that such acreages are not as desirable for country residential living as more physically separated ones. However:
 - (i) The estate developments just south on RR 233 with very expensive homes along RR 233 (which will be widened and closer to doorsteps) plus the Estates along Wye Road demonstrate that at a minimum, a single family residential use market exists. **Beyond that however**, the current acreage size provide the ability to build back from roadways/urban development and provide separation so that a country residential home remains a viable option. The forgoing is demonstrated by the recent build of a major home in Campbelltown Heights on an acreage on RR 233 as well as in Wye Gardens approximately behind the Locksmith/Cdn Tire.
 - (ii) Berms plus fences and sound barriers were negotiated by residents as a group to help such properties mitigate some of the effects the forgoing mitigations continue to exist.
 - (iii) Perhaps more importantly, separation of the subdivisions into zones or sub areas for redevelopment does not solve the basic issues/concerns that some existing properties are expressing it would just move the line or "problem" onto the properties next in line everything would begin anew.





6. Reliability/lifespan of any new plan

Campbelltown Heights (CH) has dealt with urbanization since the onset of the Cdn Tire – Wal Mart development – promises at that time of no more impacting development soon fell by the way with studies for more commercial along Wye Road occurring shortly after. That is ancient history but recent history is worse:

- a) The CH Resident's Association worked with the Wye Road Crossing developer and subsequently with the Salisbury Village developer, as well as County admin, in both instances, to try and mitigate impacts. Salisbury Village won awards - the ASP contained wording about planning principles, respect for country residential and sensitivities of re transition with smaller building type, separation etc. Specific language requires placement of large buildings to be placed away from country residential borders towards the center and east. We were relatively happy with the provisions and supported the ASP in Council. Construction began and that was supposed to be the end. However, before that was even partially complete, the Developer was approved to extend Salisbury Village into the cemetery lands thus impacting more country residential. Once again, we negotiated with the Developer and gained wording which while not entirely satisfactory, mitigated the impacts somewhat by providing a reserve /wildlife corridor next to country residential plus provision for larger lots in that area, extra main building setback and bungalow only dev't on the two lots most opposite to country residential. Within two years of all provisions, being confirmed, the Salisbury Village developer proposed to buy a lot within Campbelltown Heights -join it with a rectangular piece of adjoining land within the Salisbury Village ASP and construct 4 story, high density building. The proposal contravenes every transition provision, sensitivity and planning principle/provision in the Salisbury Village ASP as well as every other plan including the MDP and the CR- ACP.
 - (i) Om Joshi's remarks about ensuring sensitivity of transition and ensuring that building types were appropriate in any redevelopment, plus specifically saying no 4 story buildings next to residential, no commercial in the middle of residential, were very welcome but that has not only been said before, but put into writing then ignored not after years and years have passed but within present times. While greatly appreciated, it is highly ironic to hear such re-assurances at the very same time that CH residents are dealing with a proposal for re-dev't within the subdivision, supported by Planning, to remove all of the transition, sensitivity and planning principles/provisions. The double irony is that the proposed redevelopment can only proceed if the municipal utility lot between the properties is sold to the Developer by the County which has been agreed –the County is fully part of abandoning all agreed principles and transition provisions.
 - (ii) The CR- ACP has provision for higher density and requires an ASP (which Planning told us in 2012 would require majority resident support), so we discussed the possibility of an ASP with Planning and the Salisbury Village





developer at one point to get an idea of what was involved . The two main takeaways were : the cost would be between \$300,000 and 500,000 and in response to the question of how much we could rely on any such ASP to stabilize things- not have to continually deal with development proposals - the answer was anyone could propose change at any time – no guarantees

(iii) Question: We have experienced first hand, including in very recent history, that verbal undertakings and even written provisions do not provide stability and can be subsequently ignored by all other parties. Even if we want to avoid piece by piece proposals and think we have, they do and can continue at the expense of what was supposed to be meaningful public engagement about some very basic principles. Residents, not just Developers, are investors in the community and require the ability to rely on undertakings and plan long term futures which include very real investment dollars in home and property. What is different about this process and any new ARP that would bring stability and guarantee that words like Om's have long term meaning and would be defended by the County?

7. Principles

- a) Planning has said that they will be developing some draft guiding principles for the project – this is a good step. While I personally do not agree with any kind of intensive urbanization re development, everyone has a right to their perspective and respect for same as we go forward. Some other points to consider (not sure if they fall within potential guiding principles or somewhere else in the equation):
 - > Respect for each other in this process
 - Respect for those residents who have newly built/ bought or done major renovations based upon existing circumstances and respect for those who may wish to continue to live on an acreage even if the overall area is subject to urbanization. (Note: I am not sure how this can be truly accomplished. If a next door neighbour wants to urbanize their lot, doing so negatively impacts the acreage lot next door).
 - > Taxation principles. Planning has said they believe that taxes are not affected until the actual use of the lot changes and that no one will be forced to sell. The reality is that if any area is subject to redevelopment and it occurs around an existing acreage, the whole changes and eventually the person is "forced " to sell. Further, while tax rates may not change until the land use changes, the market value of the acreage land may increase due to its potential for automatic redevelopment. This results in higher taxes for the acreage resident which also contributes to being "forced" to sell. Would the County review such situations and adjust the market value and taxes for such land at a country residential value vs future development value?







- > Stability long lasting outcome not subject to proposals of the day which lead to continuing uncertainty and negatively impact residents' short and long term decision making.
- Recognition of the unique characteristics of the subdivisions. CH is part of the original Campbelltown area- one of, if not the oldest, subdivision in the County. it has long been an unrecognized amenity area for Sherwood Park residents, particularly those just north of Wye Road who have come to walk, jog or cycle thru the winding country road in a country like atmosphere. Such activity has increased significantly with the onset of Salisbury Village which still isn't fully built out /occupied. COVID has furthered the preceding CH is a nearby "country "for all to enjoy and, during COVID, to escape to. It adds to Sherwood Park and the County being a great place to live. If the subdivision is urbanized, it becomes just another urban development while taking away a very positive unique attribute. Note: Comments in Council at the various times of the motion and subsequent discussion referenced recognition of the uniqueness of the CH subdivision in any review how will this factor?
- Practicality and cost effectiveness of potential scenarios
- > Full consultation full voice for existing subdivision residents/property owners

